




Board of Trustees Wright State University 3640 Colonel Glenn Highway Dayton, Ohio 45435

We have reviewed the *Report of Independent Auditors* of the Wright State University, Greene County, prepared by Crowe Horwath LLP, for the audit period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Wright State University is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Dave Yost Auditor of State


January 21, 2014



# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page (s)
Part I - Financial Information	
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2 - 16
Financial Statements:     Independent Auditors Report     Financial Statements     Notes to Financial Statements  Supplementary Information:     Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards     Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	17-18 19-22 23-52 53-60 61-66
Part II - OMB Circular A-133 Supplemental Financial Reports	
Independent Auditors Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	67-68
Independent Auditors Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance	69-70
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	71-72



# Management's Discussion and Analysis Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

Wright State University's Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) presents an overview of its financial condition and assists the reader in focusing on significant financial issues for the year ended June 30, 2013 with selected comparative information for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The discussion has been prepared by management and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and footnotes. The financial statements, footnotes, and this discussion are the responsibility of management.

# **Financial and Other University Highlights**

- Wright State issued \$23.2 million of General Receipts Bonds during 2013, \$9 million of which is to help pay for construction costs of a student academic success center located within a new classroom building, a new multi-functional student commons building, and a grounds storage facility. In addition, \$14.2 million of the bonds were issued as an advance refunding of \$14.4 million outstanding Series 2004 General Receipts serial and term bonds, which will provide an economic gain to the University of \$1.3 million. During the year the University also entered into a Loan Agreement with the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority to fund a second phase of an energy conservation project. This resulted in the issuance of \$25.5 million in notes payable, supported by \$17.2 million of tax-exempt bonds and \$8.3 million of federally subsidized qualified energy conservation bonds (QECB). The issuance of the QECB enabled the University to realize an overall net effective interest rate after a federal rebate of only 1.51%.
- The energy conservation project referenced above is expected to reduce energy consumption by 40 percent through the funded energy conservation measures that include applying state-of-the-art technology to modernize heating/cooling plants across the University. The savings are estimated to exceed \$35 million over the next 15 years which considerably exceeds the debt service on the notes over the life of the debt.
- For the fourth consecutive year, Wright State was named to the President's Higher Education Community Service Honor Roll with Distinction, the highest federal recognition a college or university can receive for its commitment to volunteering, service-learning, and civic engagement. This award is given by the Corporation for National and Community Service. Only six Ohio schools were given the honor with distinction.
- Two different sources have named Wright State to their top "Military Friendly Schools" in recognition of the support the University provides for student veterans and students in the military. Both *Victory Media* and *Military Advanced Education* bestowed this honor on the University for the fourth year in a row. *Victory Media* honors the top 15 percent of more than 7,000 colleges, universities, and trade schools for doing the most to help America's veterans as students.
- Wright State's Model UN team continued its remarkable streak at the National Model United Nations Conference, receiving the highest recognition possible at the competition for the 34<sup>th</sup> year in a row. Competing against 149 universities from around the world, Wright State was one of 20 colleges to receive Outstanding Delegation recognition.
- Wright State celebrated its 45<sup>th</sup> anniversary as a university. Three university presidents appeared
  together during a celebration to salute the birth of the University and to forecast the future of higher
  education. The celebration examined Wright State's beginnings as an extension of two other Ohio
  public universities before it gained independent status as a university several years later.
- The University raised tuition by only 3.5% for all degree levels at both its campuses in 2013. This is identical with the 3.5% raise in undergraduate tuition rates in 2012. However, in 2012 graduate tuition

increased 4.5% while the Boonshoft School of Medicine (BSOM) and School of Professional Psychology tuition increased 5%. The University continues efforts to keep the cost of tuition affordable for students and their families despite the university's permanent loss of over \$13 million in state and federal appropriations since 2011. Wright State continues to maintain the fourth lowest instate undergraduate tuition rate among Ohio's thirteen four-year public institutions.

- Total state appropriations fell only \$0.3 million in 2013 from 2012 after removing the effect of OhioLINK (a statewide library initiative for which the University serves as fiscal agent). This follows 2012 when state appropriations fell \$0.4 million from 2011 after removing the effect of OhioLINK. With no real increase in state appropriations and only modest tuition increases, the University is learning to maintain and grow its operations even after the elimination of federal stimulus funds the State was passing through to the University in 2011 and 2010 to offset large decreases in state appropriations. This new funding model the University is utilizing includes generating new and alternative revenue streams and performing more resource or expense optimization. In 2013 the University was involved and helpful in defining a new formula for earning state subsidy that is based more upon degree and course completions. We also entered into a new comprehensive hospitality services contract that should expand and enhance revenue. In addition, the University has been in the midst of much business process reengineering that has resulted in the energy conservation measures, a re-design of our health care plans, and a discovery process for an enterprise print solution for the entire University. These types of expense optimization efforts are expected to continue for years to come.
- Net position decreased \$8.5 million in 2013 primarily in invested in capital assets largely due to the issuance of a net \$34.5 million of new bonds and notes payable this year. The majority of the expenditures on debt-funded projects have not yet been incurred. Net position decreased \$9.0 million in 2012, primarily in unrestricted net assets. This unrestricted decrease was the result of weak investment market performance that produced a \$0.2 million investment loss compared to the budgeted \$7.4 million for investment income. Tuition and fee revenue was also slightly lower than expected.
- Fall 2012 headcount was 17,930, which represented a 9.1% decrease from fall of 2011. Fall 2011 headcount was 19,721, slightly lower than the record enrollment of 19,793 from the fall of 2010. The decrease in the 2012 headcount is primarily attributable to the effort of students wanting to graduate before the fall of 2012 when the University converted from quarters to semesters. While this decrease had a negative impact on tuition revenue, it was offset by a pleasant outperformance of the budget in the investment market. This lower enrollment level will be the university's new baseline for enrollment growth. The University plans on being strategic and deliberate in managing a slow but steady increase in its student body.
- The University completed its first fiscal year utilizing the semester academic calendar for both the fall and spring terms during fiscal 2013. While the summer term of fiscal 2013 continued with the quarter system, the immediate transition to semesters in the subsequent fall term was considered a success. The three to four years of preparation and planning before Fall 2012 paid dividends as there were minimal transitional issues with students. This transition aligned Wright State's calendar with other universities' to better facilitate collaborative academic programs, student transfer and articulation.

### **Using the Annual Report**

This annual report includes three financial statements: the Statement of Net Position, the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position, and the Statement of Cash Flows. These financial statements are prepared in accordance with GASB Statement No. 35, Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-For Public Colleges and Universities as amended by GASB Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position. These financial statements focus on the financial condition of the University, the results of operations, and cash flows of the University as a whole. All comments and discussions included in this discussion and analysis relate only to Wright State University and not to the Wright State University Foundation unless specifically noted.

The three financial statements should help the reader of the annual report understand the university's overall financial condition and how it has changed as a result of the current year's financial activities. These financial statements present similar information to that disclosed in private sector (i.e. corporate) financial statements. The financial statements will also assist the reader in evaluating the ability of the University to meet its financial obligations. The Statement of Cash Flows presents information related to both cash inflows and cash outflows and is further categorized by operating, noncapital financing, capital and related financing, and investing activities.

### **Statements of Net Position**

The Statement of Net Position, which reports all assets, liabilities, deferred inflows and deferred outflows of the University, presents the financial position of the University at the end of the fiscal year. Our net position is simply the residual after subtracting liabilities and deferred inflows from the sum of assets and deferred outflows. It should be noted the University had no deferred inflows or deferred outflows for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011. The change in net position during the fiscal year is an indicator of the change in the overall financial condition of the University during the year. A summary of the university's assets, liabilities, and net position as of June 30 is as follows:

		2013		2011					
		(All dollar amounts in thousands)							
Current assets Noncurrent assets:	\$	133,336	\$	87,757	\$	86,001			
Capital assets, net		305,024		309,490		304,459			
Other		150,115		179,152		140,790			
Total assets		588,475		576,399		531,250			
Current liabilities		76,668		83,975		78,999			
Noncurrent liabilities	_	117,767		89,874		40,717			
Total liabilities		194,435		173,849	•	119,716			
Net position:									
Invested in capital assets		265,509		270,770		272,468			
Restricted		18,351		19,730		19,232			
Unrestricted		110,180		112,050		119,834			
Total net position	\$	394,040	\$	402,550	\$	411,534			

The university's net position decreased \$8.5 million in 2013, primarily in capital assets. Although the University was in the midst of a number of large and significant projects during 2013, there was a decline in net capital assets of \$5.2 million after the effect of depreciation. While progress was made on the second phase of the energy conservation projects, the Neuroscience and Engineering Collaboration (NEC) building, and the new classroom building; the preponderance of the work on those projects will be performed during the next two fiscal years. Only modest levels of other acquisitions and improvements were performed during 2013. Restricted net position fell \$1.4 million primarily as a result of the university's decision to reduce participation in a loan program and return loan funds to the federal government. In addition, unrestricted net position decreased by \$1.9 million as the University converted an internal loan fund into need based financial aid and awarded the aid during 2013. The university's net position decreased \$9.0 million in 2012 from 2011, primarily due to a downturn in investment earnings. Investment returns in 2012 were non-existent and created an unanticipated income shortfall of \$7.6 million, primarily causing the reduction in unrestricted net position.

Total assets increased \$12.1 million in 2013 over 2012. Current assets, comprised primarily of cash and operating investments, student and sponsor receivables, and prepaid expenses, increased by \$45.6 million in 2013 from 2012. This increase is the result of a number of items. Restricted cash and

investments increased by \$54.5 million during 2013 as a result of unspent proceeds from new borrowings for a number of capital projects. However, the total net increase in restricted cash and investments was only \$28.3 million, as there was a reduction in noncurrent restricted cash and investments of \$26.2 million. Operating cash and investments decreased \$18.6 million due primarily to a couple of items. First, the payroll payment schedule for academic faculty was accelerated due to the conversion of the academic calendar from the quarter system to the semester system. This resulted in approximately \$10.0 million more in cash outlays for payroll during 2013 than in 2012. In addition, accounts receivable increased \$12.9 million, which also contributed substantially to the decrease in operating cash and investments. This increase in accounts receivable is a result of several items. One of these was an effect of the conversion to semesters. Approximately \$4.0 million of student billings for Summer 2013 were processed before June 30, 2013 whereas in the prior year, comparable billings for Summer 2012 were not processed until after June 30, 2012. An additional \$1.7 million increase in other student receivables related largely to third party sponsor billings for international students. Sponsor receivables also increased \$6.2 million primarily due to the timing of billings for the Federal Direct Lending program for summer term and advances and billings to the affiliated Wright State Applied Research Corporation and its research related activities. Deferred charges primarily represent financial aid disbursed in the current year which will be applied to the upcoming year. This balance decreased \$1.8 million in 2013, as most of the aid was applied to 2013 due to the change from quarters to semesters. Prepaid expenses comprise another large share of current assets at \$12.4 million in 2013 and \$13.8 million in 2012. These assets are primarily composed of license agreements for the OhioLINK program for which the University is the fiscal agent. Current assets only increased \$1.8 million from 2011 to 2012.

Other noncurrent assets decreased \$29.0 million from \$179.1 million in 2012 to \$150.1 million in 2013. These assets are comprised of long-term investments, long-term student loans receivable, and long-term prepaid expenses and deferred charges. Long-term unrestricted investments represent the majority of the balance in both 2013 and 2012 at \$124.6 million and \$128.6 million, respectively, resulting in a three percent decrease of \$4.0 million. This decrease is a continued reflection of the aforementioned accelerated payroll schedule and increase in receivables mentioned with the *current assets* variances. Restricted investments, comprised of unspent bond and notes payable proceeds that will be spent over the next two to three years on various capital projects, also decreased from \$37.9 million in 2012 to \$11.7 million in 2013. This decrease, in conjunction with the increase in restricted cash and investments, is a reflection of the increased borrowings in 2013. Loans receivable comprise the balance of the noncurrent assets at \$12.0 million and \$12.1 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Long-term prepaids and deferred charges increased \$1.2 million primarily due to bond issuance costs.

Capital assets, net of depreciation decreased \$4.5 million from \$309.5 million in 2012 to \$305.0 million in 2013. The largest project activity in 2013 involved the second phase of the energy conservation project for which over \$4.5 million was spent. Other projects included improvements made to White Hall, a Welcome Center and Raider Connect student one-stop center in the Student Union, the completion of the water main project substantially performed in 2012, and the initial phases of the NEC building and new Student Classroom building. Capital assets increased \$5.0 million from 2011 to 2012. Major projects performed and/or completed in 2012 included the Rinzler Student Sports Complex, the Schuster Concert Hall, and the replacement of all Dayton campus main water lines. Also in 2012, the University received a \$5.8 million donation of software to be utilized by students. Annual depreciation expense rose from \$19.7 million in 2012 to \$21.4 million in 2013 as the large 2012 acquisitions experienced their first full year of depreciation, which contributed to the decrease in net capital assets.

Total assets of the Wright State University Foundation increased from \$110.3 million at June 30, 2012 to \$119.9 million at June 30, 2013. The \$9.6 million increase is largely related to increases in long-term investments and capital assets. Cash and cash equivalents and long-term investments comprise \$110.6 and \$103.8 million of the total assets balances at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Current liabilities are comprised primarily of accounts payable and accrued liabilities; deferred revenues from both student fees and advance payments for contracts and grants; and the current portion of long-term liabilities. These liabilities decreased \$7.3 million from \$84.0 million at June 30, 2012 to \$76.7 million at June 30, 2013. The overall change in current liabilities is comprised of changes in several balances. Overall accounts payable remained relatively constant at \$13.1 million in both 2013 and 2012. Accrued

liabilities decreased \$6.4 million largely due to a decrease in the accrual of academic faculty payroll as of June 30, 2013 resulting from the change in academic calendar from quarters to semesters. Deferred revenue decreased \$3.5 million from \$38.9 million in 2012 to \$35.4 million in 2013. The two primary components of deferred revenue are income received in advance of expenditures from project sponsors on contracts and grants and summer quarter tuition and fees related to 2014 received before June 30, 2013. The \$3.5 million decrease resulted from a decrease of \$6.2 million in advanced sponsor payments, primarily from the OhioLINK program, partially offset by an increase of \$2.7 million in deferred student fees resulting from the additional student billings that occurred in 2013 due to the change in the academic calendar. The current portion of long-term liabilities increased \$2.2 million from \$11.4 million in 2012 to \$13.6 million in 2013. This is the result of the additional debt issued by the University in 2013 which in turn increased the current portion of Wright State's long-term liabilities.

Current liabilities increased \$5.0 million from \$79.0 million at June 30, 2011 to \$84.0 million at June 30, 2012. The bulk of the increase occurred in accounts payable which grew \$4.0 million from \$9.1 million in 2011 to \$13.1 million in 2012 primarily due to some large invoices received in June of 2012 for the OhioLINK program and increases in outstanding contractor payables for some of the university's on-going capital projects.

Noncurrent liabilities increased \$27.9 million from \$89.9 million at June 30, 2012 to \$117.8 million at June 30, 2013. This increase is the result of the University issuing \$34.5 million of new debt in 2013 less another year of debt service for all outstanding debt. Noncurrent liabilities increased \$49.2 million from \$40.7 million at June 30, 2011 to \$89.9 million at June 30, 2012. This increase is the result of the University issuing \$56.7 million of new debt in 2012 less another year of debt service for all outstanding debt.

*Net position* represents the remaining balance of the university's assets after adding deferred outflows and deducting liabilities and deferred inflows. A more detailed summary of the university's net position as of June 30 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011					
	(All doll	(All dollar amounts in thousands)						
Invested in capital assets	\$ 265,509	\$ 270,770	\$ 272,468					
Restricted expendable	18,351	19,730	19,232					
Unrestricted:								
Designated	112,253	114,789	118,935					
Undesignated	(2,073)	(2,739)	899					
Total net position	\$ 394,040	\$ 402,550	\$ 411,534					

Invested in capital assets represents the university's capital assets after subtracting accumulated depreciation and the principal amount of outstanding debt attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. During 2013, the University constructed a Welcome Center at the front entrance of the Student Union, completed the student Raider Connect Center, began work on the new NEC building and new Classroom building, made substantial progress on the second phase of the energy conservation project, and performed a number of other improvements to the university's facilities. During 2012 the University replaced water mains on the Dayton campus, renovated and created the Schuster Concert Hall and provided additional athletic center facilities by constructing the Rinzler Student Sports Complex. In addition, the University received donated equipment and software of approximately \$5.8 million during 2012.

Restricted expendable represents funds externally restricted to specific purposes, such as student loans or sponsored projects. The decrease of \$1.4 million is primarily the result of the university's decision to discontinue the use of a federal loan program, which resulted in the return of funds. \$17.7 million at June 30, 2013 and \$18.9 million at June 30, 2012 of the restricted expendable fund balances represent funds restricted for student loans.

Unrestricted net position represents funds the University has at its disposal to use for whatever purposes it determines appropriate. While these funds are not subject to external restrictions, the University has designated these funds internally for various academic, research, student aid, and capital purposes. Colleges and divisions are permitted to retain their own budgeted funds that are not spent at the close of each fiscal year. The University believes this practice permits the units to manage their resources more effectively, allowing them to hold them for higher priorities in later years. This policy also benefits the University as a whole by encouraging the accumulation of reserves that provide financial stability during periods of fiscal stress and that generate investment income that supplements other revenue sources. Unrestricted net position decreased \$1.9 million in 2013, from \$112.1 million in 2012 to \$110.2 million in 2013. The \$1.9 million decrease can be primarily attributed to the conversion of a university loan fund to a need based financial aid scholarship program. This amount was awarded and spent during 2013. The university's plan is to strengthen the unrestricted undesignated fund balance through enrollment growth and retention of students.

## Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position presents the results of operations for the University. A summary of the university's revenues, expenses and changes in net position for the year ended June 30 is as follows:

		2013		2011				
		(All dollar amounts in thousands)						
Operating revenues:								
Student tuition & fees - net	\$	142,514	\$	,	\$	134,010		
Grants and contracts		99,140		94,837		97,514		
Sales and services		6,387		7,433		6,911		
Auxiliary enterprises		15,165		16,326		17,056		
Other	_	2,415		2,619		3,478		
Total		265,621		263,153		258,969		
Operating expenses	_	414,473		402,254		392,113		
Operating loss		(148,852)		(139,101)		(133,144)		
Name and the survey of the survey (survey)								
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):						40.000		
Federal appropriations		00.044		00.040		13,228		
State appropriations		88,941		89,649		88,042		
Federal grants		26,520		27,679		29,110		
State grants		3,378		3,081		3,516		
Gifts		6,687		6,257		6,716		
Investment income (loss)		15,781		(224)		20,836		
Interest expense		(3,723)		(2,620)		(1,453)		
Other income (expense)		(49)		(2,588)		(82)		
Capital appropriations		821		1,241		5,692		
Capital grants and gifts	_	1,986		7,642		7,567		
Total	_	140,342		130,117		173,172		
(Decrease) increase in net position		(8,510)		(8,984)		40,028		
Net position - beginning of year		402,550		411,534		371,506		
Net position - end of year	\$	394,040	\$	402,550	\$	411,534		

Interpretation of the university's Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position is complicated by the fact that Wright State University serves as the fiscal agent for the statewide library program known as OhioLINK. This program's revenues from state appropriations, federal pass-through

grants (grants and contracts) and from other college and university libraries (grants and contracts) and expenses are all included in our financial statements. At certain points in this analysis, we present information net of OhioLINK revenues or expenditures.

The total revenues and expenses attributable to OhioLINK are as follows:

# OhioLINK Revenues and Expenses For the Year Ended June 30

	2013	2012	Difference	Percent Increase (Decrease)
Revenues:				
Grants and contracts	\$ 31,987,124	\$ 31,178,164	\$ 808,960	2.6%
State appropriations	6,086,889	6,510,387	(423,498)	(6.5)%
Total revenues	\$ 38,074,013	\$ 37,688,551	\$ 385,462	1.0%
Expenses:				
Total OhioLINK	\$ 38,074,013	\$ 37,688,551	\$ 385,462	1.0%

The University continues to rely upon state appropriations and student tuition and fees as its primary revenue sources for its core programs and university operations. In addition to these two revenue sources, which amounted to over 56 percent of the university's total 2013 revenues, another 32 percent of 2013 revenues were in the form of grants and contracts, a restricted revenue source received from external sponsors of specific projects. Although the accounting standards classify state appropriations as a nonoperating revenue source in the financial statements, the University continues to manage state funding as an operating revenue item, as it relies upon state funding as a significant (although declining) funding source for ongoing operations. After the recent few years of rising or steady enrollments, Wright State enrollments declined nine percent in 2013 compared to 2012. The University believes the largest contributor to this downturn was the strong desire of students to graduate prior to the switch in the academic calendar from quarters to semesters. This was demonstrated by the record number of graduates at Wright State in the Spring term of 2012. The 2013 decrease in enrollment, in conjunction with modest increases in tuition rates, provided only a slight increase in net tuition revenue of approximately 0.4 percent. The State of Ohio's funding actually decreased in 2013, net of OhioLINK, by \$0.3 million. Therefore the trend of increasing tuition and decreasing state appropriations as a percent of total revenues experienced for at least the last three decades continued into 2013, although by a much smaller margin due to the downturn in enrollment. The pattern and trend of students and their families paying an ever increasing share of the cost of education continues.

The State of Ohio has been making strides at improving its own budgetary position. One outcome of this effort was collaborating with Ohio's public higher education institutions and redefining how state subsidy is earned. Beginning in 2014, rather than subsidy being earned primarily based upon enrollments, subsidy will be earned primarily based upon degree and course completions. While there are other influences and factors affecting the actual allocation of the subsidy, this change will have the effect of promoting the importance of the academic success of the student, which is parallel with the university's priorities. Wright State views the changes to the subsidy allocation model as very positive for both the students and the University.

Internally, the University continues its efforts to develop and implement a new resource allocation model that focuses on strategic incentives for revenue growth and review of current academic programs and administrative processes as opposed to an allocation based on simple expense reductions. In addition, the University has been successful in expanding its applied research portfolio, partnering with our neighboring Wright Patterson Air Force Base as well as regional commercial enterprises to help drive and create economic development and jobs in the area. These initiatives have the potential to enhance revenues for the University and should help offset some of the decline in our more traditional revenue sources such as state appropriations. Trends have shown the amount of state appropriations allocated to

Wright State University and higher education in general have not kept pace with overall enrollment growth and have in fact been shrinking, requiring the University to raise tuition at rates higher than desired. While the University is hopeful more state resources will be invested in higher education as we move forward, we cannot rely upon that hope and therefore we must develop alternative revenue sources and reengineer our business model.


The table below depicts how declining state funding in the past three decades has forced universities to shift the burden for funding the cost of higher education to students and their families.

State Appropriations per Dollar of Gross Tuition

			State Net State			
			Appro	priations		Appropriations
			ne	et of		per Dollar of
Fiscal Year	_	Gross Tuition	Ohi	oLINK		Gross Tuition
1980	\$	13,833,157	\$ 29	,604,813	\$	2.14
1990		40,939,473	63	,889,505		1.56
2001		74,956,371	86	,874,854		1.16
2005		121,717,222	84	,724,080		0.70
2010		161,383,354	97	,498,261		0.60
2011		174,830,992	96	,735,697		0.55
2012		180,670,354	83	,138,572		0.46
2013		183,505,881	82	,854,498		0.45

The table above communicates a very strong continuing trend that regardless of efforts and intentions on the part of the State, the University must find ways to generate substantial amounts of revenue from sources other than state appropriations if it wishes to lessen the financial burden that has been placed upon students and their families. State funding has not kept up with the growth and increased diversity of higher education. Universities are serving a broader role in the educational process not only providing academic programs but also an array of research, community engagement, and job creation in addition to other activities. This has necessitated placing a greater share of the total costs of education on the students themselves over the years. In an attempt to reverse this trend the University continues to pursue supplements to its revenue sources. Research continues to be a focus, as does a strong emphasis on fundraising. Even though the University has raised its tuition in almost all years when allowed by state law, the University continues to maintain its position in the State with a lower than average level of tuition and fees relative to other Ohio four-year public institutions. This has been the case for at least a decade now. Wright State still ranks as the fourth lowest (out of 13) of the four-year public institutions with respect to undergraduate student tuition rates. It should be noted that two of the lower three universities receive special state funding for the purpose of subsidizing tuition that Wright State does not receive.

Below is a graphic illustration of revenues by source for the year ended June 30, 2013.



State appropriations decreased from \$89.6 million in 2012 to \$88.9 million in 2013, a decrease of \$0.7 million. OhioLINK appropriations decreased from \$6.5 million in 2012 to \$6.1 million in 2013. When accounting for the change in the OhioLINK funding, state appropriations actually fell \$0.3 million in 2013. Therefore, state appropriations were relatively flat. While the University does not expect any dramatic changes in the level of funding, it is optimistic that the recent changes in the method of allocation of state appropriations will provide some modest increase in funding in future years. State appropriations were \$88.0 million in 2011, resulting in a \$1.6 million increase in 2012. After removing the effect of a \$2.0 million increase in OhioLINK funding in 2012, there was a \$0.4 million decrease.

Net student tuition and fees were \$142.5 million, \$141.9 million, and \$134.0 million, in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively which provided an increase of .4% from 2012 to 2013 and 5.9% from 2011 to 2012. In 2013, tuition increased 3.5% for all levels and both campuses. Despite a large decrease in student FTE in 2013, the 3.5% tuition increase and more summer term revenue recognized in 2013 as a result of the conversion from quarters to semesters resulted in a net increase of 0.4% in tuition and fee revenue, net of scholarship allowances (financial aid applied against a student's tuition bill). The increase is 1.6% prior to the application of the scholarship allowances. In 2012, tuition increased 3.5% at the undergraduate and 4.5% at the graduate level and 5.0% for Boonshoft School of Medicine, School of Professional Psychology, and the Doctor of Nursing Practice. Due to the mix of students and student credit hours, the gross tuition increase was only 3.3% before applying the scholarship allowance.

Grants and contracts were \$129.0 million in 2013, increasing \$3.4 million from the 2012 level of \$125.6 million. The 2011 level was \$130.1 million. The \$3.4 million increase primarily resulted from an increase in revenues from the private colleges and universities for the OhioLINK program and increases in revenues for billings related to the Wright State Applied Research Corporation. These increases totaled approximately \$4.2 million. In addition, the federal PELL financial aid awards decreased by \$1.2 million in 2013. The 2012 decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in externally funded financial aid.


Auxiliary revenues were \$15.2 million, \$16.3 million, and \$17.1 million, for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Auxiliary enterprises are comprised of residence services, bookstores, food services, vending, parking and transportation, intercollegiate athletics, the Student Union, and the Nutter Center. The \$1.1 million decrease in revenues in 2013 occurred largely as a result of a decline in enrollment as food services and residence services revenues fell substantially and other revenues such as vending and bookstore also saw a decline. The 2012 decline was primarily as a result of the University switching from a self-operated bookstore at its Celina Campus to a commission based contractor model whereby the University no longer recorded all gross sales but rather a commission income stream.

Sales and services, which are primarily revenues generated from specific departmental sales activities to organizations external to the University, were \$6.4 million, \$7.4 million, and \$6.9 million, for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The largest amounts of revenue are generated from the Boonshoft School of Medicine in the form of clinical income and other services as well as computing and telecommunications revenues. The \$1.0 million decrease in 2013 was led by a drop in the clinical income within the Boonshoft School of Medicine as well as a decrease in telecommunications income from lower residence hall occupancy.

Investment income was \$15.8 million, a loss of \$0.2 million and \$20.8 million in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The 2013 income exceeded budget by \$7.5 million. This was a welcome result, as investment returns helped offset the lack of revenue growth in tuition and fees due to the 2013 drop in enrollment from 2012. The investment markets were strong and the university's investment returns were consistent with the average market indices for the various market sectors. While investment returns have been mixed in recent years, the University regularly reviews its investment policy and investigates ways to diversify risk and enhance returns. As a result of these endeavors in 2012 and 2013 the University diversified its portfolio by placing a small share into private equity, commodity funds, and other diversifying strategies. We plan to continue pressing for new opportunities for income generation, especially as the need for new revenue sources intensifies. The 2012 loss was disappointing but was consistent with the overall market. Investment income was almost \$8.0 million less than planned, and was the primary contribution to the decrease in unrestricted net assets in 2012. The \$20.8 million investment return in 2011 was the largest in the university's history.

Capital Appropriations, Gifts and Grants were \$2.8 million in 2013, a decrease of \$6.1 million from the \$8.9 million realized in 2012. The primary reason for the decrease was a non-recurring \$5.8 million gift of software for use by students in 2012. Capital appropriations from the State of Ohio also decreased \$0.4 million in 2013. Remaining capital appropriations were simply for annual routine capital renovations. Major gifts received in 2013 included contributions for the Medical Education Skills Assessment Lab, baseball field turf replacement, Schuster Concert Hall renovation, and the Fritz and Delores Russ Engineering Center atrium renovation. Routine funding for capital grants was also received. Major gifts in fiscal 2012 included the \$5.8 million gift of software as well as gifts for projects including the Schuster Concert Hall renovation.

The following is a graphic illustration of expenses by function for the year ended June 30, 2013.



Total operating expenses were \$414.5 million in 2013 as compared to \$402.3 million and \$392.1 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$12.2 million increase in 2013 represents only a 3.0% increase in operating expenses. Salaries and benefits increased \$5.4 million from 2012 to 2013 which represents only a 2.2% increase. Almost half of that increase, \$2.5 million, was the result of converting to semesters from guarters. This change in the academic calendar resulted in a greater share of the summer 2013 term being taught in fiscal 2013 and therefore additional payroll expense was incurred in 2013. Subtracting that portion of the increase, salaries and benefits only increased \$2.9 million or 1.2%. This is a reflection of low average wage increases in 2013 (0%-2%) and the result of lower than expected health care claims costs. In addition, the University implemented a hiring initiative that critically reviewed vacant positions and provided for only those deemed strategically important to be filled. Many positions remained vacant throughout much of 2013. Additional expense optimization efforts included our energy conservation project, healthcare plan re-designs, early stages of an enterprise print management solution, and continued improvements with strategic contract management. These all contributed to holding down costs and moving into future years in a more efficient and cost effective manner. Also in 2013 the University returned \$1.4 million in federal loan funds as part of a deliberate decision to reduce participation in the program. The remaining \$5.4 million increase, only 1.3%, is for other operating expenses. The increase in 2012 over 2011 was \$10.2 million with \$6.6 million attributable to salaries and benefits and the remaining \$3.6 million to other operating expenses.

#### **Statements of Cash Flows**

The Statement of Cash Flows also provides information about the university's financial health by reporting the cash receipts and cash payments of the University during the year ended June 30, 2013. A summary of the Statements of Cash Flows is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011				
	(All dollar amounts in thousands)						
Cash provided (used) by:							
Operating activities	\$ (145,633)	\$ (121,065)	\$ (107,871)				
Noncapital financing activities	124,389	127,213	140,040				
Capital and related financing activities	11,280	30,981	(15,473)				
Investing activities	12,364_	(44,763)	(22,309)				
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,400	(7,634)	(5,613)				
Cash and cash equivalents-beginning of year	19,611	27,245	32,858				
Cash and cash equivalents-end of year	\$ 22,011	\$ 19,611	\$ 27,245				

Total cash and cash equivalents increased \$2.4 million in 2013. Cash flows from operating activities decreased \$24.6 million from 2012. Operating contracts and grants cash flows decreased by \$6.5 million as receivables from various sponsors rose. Cash outflows for salaries and benefits rose \$9.3 million as well. These two factors combined with \$6.1 million increased payments to suppliers and flat tuition revenue to create the decrease in operating cash flows. Cash from noncapital financing activities only decreased \$2.8 million primarily as a result of the timing of the awarding of federal loans for the summer term and the collection of those loan amounts from the federal government. Cash from capital and related financing activities decreased \$19.7 million from 2012 to 2013 as there were approximately \$21.0 million less in borrowings in 2013, net of refundings. The net cash provided by investing activities of \$12.4 million in 2013 is the net result of all investment activities: purchases, sales, and interest earnings. This compares to \$44.8 million net cash used in 2012. The cash provided in 2013 is primarily the result of additional realized investment income and more active trading of securities. The decrease of \$7.6 million from 2011 to 2012 was a combination of an increase in operating expenditures, a loss of federal stimulus funds, offset somewhat by new borrowings net of amounts invested in the bond trust fund.

## **Capital Assets and Debt**

# Capital Assets

The University had approximately \$305.0 million invested in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation of \$263.6 million at June 30, 2013. Capital assets were \$309.5 million, net of accumulated depreciation of \$244.4 million at June 30, 2012. Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$21.4 million and \$19.7 million, respectively. A summary of net capital assets for the year ended June 30 is as follows:

	2013 2012					2011		
	(All dollar amounts in thousands)							
Land, land improvements and infrastructure	\$	35,916	\$	32,508	\$	27,048		
Buildings		224,384		227,314		227,149		
Machinery and equipment		22,335		29,156		29,168		
Library books and publications		17,014		17,597		18,083		
Construction in progress		5,375		2,915		3,011		
Total capital assets - net	\$	305,024	\$	309,490	\$	304,459		

Land, land improvements, and infrastructure increased \$3.4 million as a result of a few projects. One project continuing from 2012 was the replacement of all of the Dayton campus' main water lines. The lines had developed random leaks from the age of the pipes. Therefore, the University determined it best to replace all of the lines rather than continually fix them. This project was finished in 2013. In addition, \$2.7 million was spent for the second phase of the energy conservation project. Construction in Progress rose \$2.5 million in 2013 as work began on the NEC building and the new classroom building. The net asset values of buildings and machinery and equipment decreased as depreciation expense exceeded all 2013 acquisitions and improvements. Major capital activity in 2012 included \$2.6 million related to the main water lines, \$2.5 million for a portion of the Rinzler Student Sport Complex and related Raider Road extension, renovation and creation of the Schuster Concert Hall with a cost of just over \$4.0 million, along with a \$5.8 million donation of software for student use in the classroom.

## Debt

In November 2012, the University issued \$23.2 million in General Receipts bonds. The bonds were sold at a premium of \$2.1 million. These bonds have an effective interest rate of 2.87% and consist of \$21.4 million serial bonds and a \$1.8 million term bond. Of the total bonds, \$9.0 million were issued to pay the associated bond issuance costs and to finance construction of a student academic success center to be located within a new classroom building, a new multi-functional student commons building, and relocation of a grounds storage facility. The remaining \$14.2 million Series 2012 bonds were issued as an advance refunding of \$14.4 million outstanding Series 2004 General Receipts serial and term bonds. The advance refunding resulted in an economic gain to the University of \$1.3 million and a savings of \$1.6 million in debt service payments over the next 17 years. The University received an A1 bond rating from Moody's Investors Service on this issue.

In February 2013, the University entered into a \$25.5 million Loan Agreement with the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority to fund the second phase of an energy conservation project. This debt was issued as a Series A note backed by a \$17.2 million tax exempt revenue bond and a Series B note backed by an \$8.3 million tax exempt revenue bond (QECB). The Series A note carries an interest rate of 1.78% and the Series B note carries an interest rate of 4.16%. The QECB qualifies for a large federal rebate that brings the effective interest rate down to .94%. The weighted average interest rate of the entire \$25.5 million Loan Agreement is 1.51%. Wright State expects to reduce energy consumption by nearly 40 percent through the funded energy efficiency investments that include applying state-of-the-art technology to modernize heating/cooling plants in buildings across its Dayton and Celina campuses. The project

promises to save the University over \$35 million over the next 15 years which well exceeds the debt service on the notes.

In November 2011, the University issued \$55.2 million General Receipts Series 2011A Bonds to fund construction of a new classroom building, replacement of main water lines, renovation of the Student Union, renovation of the Schuster Concert Hall, improvement and addition of the Rinzler Student Sports Complex, construction of a new building for a neuroscience and engineering collaboration, expansion of the Creative Arts Center, replacement of the Nutter Center scoreboard, construction of parking lots and acquisition of a parcel of land adjacent to main campus. Series 2011 B bonds, totaling \$1.5 million, were also issued as an advance refunding of \$1.4 million outstanding Series 2003 General Receipts serial and term bonds. The bonds were sold at a premium of \$3.4 million for a total issue of \$60.0 million. The average coupon rate of the Series A bonds is 4.82%, but the effective interest rate is only 4.13%. The University received a bond rating for this issue from Moody's Investors Service of A1.

Outstanding debt was \$114.4 million, \$84.4 million, and \$32.7 million at June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The 2013 balance of \$114.4 million includes \$88.7 million of outstanding bonds, \$25.5 million of outstanding notes, and \$0.2 million of equipment leases. The 2012 balance of \$84.4 million includes \$84.1 million of outstanding bonds and equipment leases of \$0.3 million.

# **Concluding Thoughts**

Each year brings with it difficult challenges, some recurring and some new. One recurring challenge seems to be the continued migration of the funding burden for the cost of higher education from the State of Ohio to the student. Each year a greater percent of the cost is born by the student. The dollar level of support provided by the State has shrunk as has the share of costs it supports. While a new funding formula for state subsidy has been developed that should be mutually beneficial to both the student and the University in future years, the overall financial enhancement to Wright State is not expected to be significant. This new funding model places a greater emphasis on student success by rewarding institutions for degree completion and successful course completions. This will provide additional motivation and incentive to work closely with students and keep them on a successful path of progress towards a degree and ultimately a job in the workforce. Unfortunately, the amount of funding available for higher education in Ohio is not growing much and therefore regardless of the success of the students, the university's funding will simply be a proportional share of a fixed pool of dollars. Nonetheless, the University is committed to helping each one of its students succeed both academically and in their endeavors after graduation.

Another challenge facing the University is a recent decline in enrollment. While much of that can be attributed to our transition to semesters, we are taking a critical review of other factors that can be improved to make a positive impact on enrollment. As the University considers the most recent enrollment to be its new baseline, we will be developing strategic methods to foster further enrollment growth for the different types of enrolling students. Much of this focus would be on direct from high school students, transfer students, international students, as well as graduate and professional school students, for example. Analyzing these groups individually and strategically as an independent revenue stream should help better identify successful strategies for optimizing both student success as well as enrollment. Other economic factors that are now less certain or predictable than in prior years include investment markets, governmental funding at all levels, the direction of technology, as well as health care costs.

The University is aggressively addressing its financial challenges. During 2013 the University continued efforts it started several years earlier by beginning yet another series of energy conservation measures to significantly reduce the cost of energy at the University. We have identified measures that will improve efficiencies and will result in savings greater than the cost of the projects. Additionally, the University transitioned its food service operations to a full hospitality services model which is expected to enhance profitability by \$0.6 million annually. The transition provided other non-financial improvements such as student and community satisfaction enjoyed through stakeholder engagement and defined final outcomes. Although the University has also enjoyed the benefit of relatively flat health care claims costs in 2013, it has proactively re-bid its healthcare administration services. Working with a third party consultant and with faculty and staff input, the University will be making plan platform and other plan

design changes that are expected to keep health care costs flat in 2014. The University is in the midst of many other efforts to control costs and promote revenue growth. Projects such as potentially implementing a campus wide enterprise print solution, promoting and expanding the use of a separate research corporation to enhance its research portfolio, consistently reviewing ways to enhance its investment returns, and continuing development of a budget model that focuses more on strategic priorities and opportunities as well as continual review of operations are just a few other projects in motion. In general, the University is becoming more entrepreneurial as it moves forward. It is imperative that we think and act differently than in the past in order to succeed financially and to increase our students' success both academically and as contributing members of society.

The University is excited to move forward and face upcoming challenges and opportunities. We believe we can continue to be successful both as financial stewards and as a positive influence in our students' lives and in the communities we serve. We have a lot of work to do, but we believe we have accomplished a lot over the last year. We will endure by carrying forward the momentum we have developed into 2014 and beyond.

This page intentionally left blank



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Trustees of Wright State University Dayton, Ohio

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of Wright State University (the "University"), a component unit of the State of Ohio, and its discretely presented component unit (Wright State University Foundation, Inc.) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the University's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

# Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

(Continued)

# **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the business-type activities of the University and its discretely presented component unit, as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) on pages 2 to 15 be presented to supplement the financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the financial statements, is required by Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

# Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the University's financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations is* presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements.

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

# Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated the same date as this report on our consideration of the University's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crome Horwath LLP

Columbus, Ohio October 11, 2013

# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY Statements of Net Position June 30, 2013 and 2012

		2013			2012			
ASSETS		University		Foundation	_	University		Foundation
Current assets:	_	==	_			40.0	_	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	14,776,145	\$	1,236,709	\$	18,371,057	\$	1,654,245
Restricted cash and cash equivalents Short-term investments		7,234,740 926,802				1,239,968 15,904,457		
Restricted short-term investments		54,660,852				6,133,053		
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts		01,000,002				0,100,000		
of \$1,715,000 in 2013 and \$1,555,000 in 2012 - Note 3)		35,264,457		200,831		22,386,676		418,273
Gifts and pledges receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible								
pledges of \$800 in 2013 and \$1,400 in 2012)				2,456,761				1,801,293
Loans receivable (net of allowance for doubtful loans		4 740 046				4 6 4 6 0 2 0		
of \$3,041,000 in 2013 and \$2,785,000 in 2012) Inventories		4,740,916 342,786				4,646,030 438,846		
Prepaid expenses		12,391,660				13,834,860		
Deferred charges		2,998,066				4,802,367		
Total current assets		133,336,424	_	3,894,301	_	87,757,314	_	3,873,811
Noncurrent assets:								
Restricted investments		11,718,652				37,906,009		
Gifts and pledges receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible pledges of \$55,100 in 2013 and \$34,600 in 2012)				4,637,839				4,027,207
Loans receivable (net of allowance for doubtful loans				4,037,039				4,027,207
of \$122,000 in 2013 and in 2012)		12,038,922				12,125,076		
Other assets		1,731,249		510,296		549,173		252,253
Other long-term investments		124,626,307		109,359,096		128,572,204		102,115,335
Capital assets, net (Note 4)	_	305,023,880	_	1,482,267	_	309,490,019	_	
Total noncurrent assets Total assets	_	455,139,010	\$	115,989,498	\$	488,642,481	- <sub>Ф</sub> -	106,394,795
Total assets	Φ_	588,475,434	Φ=	119,883,799	Φ=	576,399,795	\$_	110,268,606
LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION								
Current liabilities:								
Accounts payable trade and other	\$	13,177,434	\$	293,396	\$	13,073,026	\$	145,532
Accounts payable to Wright State University		40 475 700		791,698		40 000 400		1,046,451
Accrued liabilities Deferred revenue (Note 1)		13,475,796				19,889,128		
Refunds and other liabilities		35,412,568 967,957		1,912,842		38,947,507 715,166		1,926,215
Current portion of long-term liabilities (Note 5)		13,634,573		817,008		11,350,584		19,131
Total current liabilities	_	76,668,328	_	3,814,944	_	83,975,411	_	3,137,329
Noncurrent liabilities:								
Long-term liabilities (Note 5)	_	117,767,469	_	113,892	_	89,874,428	_	115,369
Total noncurrent liabilities Total liabilities	_	117,767,469 194,435,797	-	113,892 3,928,836	_	89,874,428 173,849,839		115,369 3,252,698
Total habilities		194,433,797		3,920,030		173,049,039		3,232,090
Net Position:								
Invested in capital assets		265,508,693				270,769,839		
Restricted - nonexpendable: Instruction and departmental research				13,943,786				13,795,990
Separately budgeted research				6,431,585				6,430,799
Public service				185,696				184,766
Academic support				622,618				604,877
Student services				87,371				77,446
Operation and maintenance of plant				528,736				308,692
Scholarships and fellowships				15,682,537				14,563,571
Auxiliaries Restricted - expendable:				259,835				253,846
Instruction and departmental research		8,040		24,092,242		7,954		21,744,747
Separately budgeted research		5,515		3,335,719		.,00		3,319,673
Public service				564,104				423,793
Academic support				14,068,649				12,791,305
Student services				295,601				286,360
Institutional support				6,908,858		191		5,643,324
Operation and maintenance of plant Scholarships and fellowships				1,212,700 20,179,228				1,754,363 19,193,508
Loans		17,739,142		20, 110,220		18,940,646		10,100,000
Debt service		604,279				780,801		
Auxiliaries				191,308		•		378,671
Unrestricted	_	110,179,483	_	7,364,390	_	112,050,525	_	5,260,177
Total net position	φ_	394,039,637	φ_	115,954,963	<u>"</u> –	402,549,956	- <sub>e</sub> -	107,015,908
Total liabilities and net position	*=	588,475,434	Φ_	119,883,799	\$_	576,399,795	• <sup>‡</sup> =	110,268,606

# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

		2013			2012		
	_	University		Foundation	_	University	Foundation
OPERATING REVENUES							
Student tuition and fees (net of scholarship allowances							
of \$40,992,000 in 2013 and \$38,732,000 in 2012)	\$	142,513,881	\$		\$	141,938,151 \$	
Federal grants and contracts		35,607,915				36,186,884	
State grants and contracts		7,049,094				6,029,619	
Local grants and contracts		316,421				454,296	
Nongovernmental grants and contracts		56,166,131				52,166,006	
Sales and services		6,387,417				7,433,325	
Auxiliary enterprises sales (net of scholarship allowances							
of \$1,961,000 in 2013 and \$1,895,000 in 2012)		15,165,271				16,326,112	
Gifts and contributions				7,288,695			4,827,317
Other operating revenues		2,414,955				2,618,904	
Total operating revenues	_	265,621,085		7,288,695	_	263,153,297	4,827,317
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Educational and general:							
Instruction and departmental research		137,825,680				133,125,514	
Separately budgeted research		32,378,563				30,468,076	
Public service		16,340,922				15,745,328	
Academic support		80,811,381				82,110,189	
Student services		19,278,178				18,863,429	
Institutional support		33,916,434		190,931		32.609.088	308.743
Operation and maintenance of plant		23,836,186		.00,00.		22,535,868	000,0
Scholarships and fellowships		22,301,170				21,548,954	
Total educational and general	_	366,688,514		190,931	_	357,006,446	308,743
Auxiliary enterprises		26,363,074		190,951		25,542,117	300,743
Depreciation		21,421,353		9,399		19,705,779	
·	_	414,472,941		200.330	_	402,254,342	308,743
Total operating expenses		414,472,941		200,330		402,254,542	300,743
Operating (loss)/income		(148,851,856)		7,088,365		(139,101,045)	4,518,574
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):							
State appropriations		88,941,387				89,648,959	
Federal grants		26,519,530				27,679,213	
State grants		3,377,969				3,081,373	
Gifts		6,687,203				6,257,094	
Investment income/(loss) (net of investment expenses of \$131,000 in 2013 and \$118,000 in 2012 for WSU and							
\$590,377 in 2013 and \$539,956 in 2012 for Foundation)		15.781.049		9,864,737		(223,818)	1,000,559
Interest on capital asset-related debt		(3,723,349)		-,,		(2,620,264)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Payments to Wright State University		(0,120,010)		(8,014,047)		(2,020,201)	(7,264,516)
Other nonoperating (expenses)		(49,021)		(0,011,011)		(2,589,415)	(1,201,010)
Net nonoperating revenues (expenses)	_	137,534,768		1,850,690	_	121,233,142	(6,263,957)
Gain/(loss) before other revenues, expenses, gains or losses		(11,317,088)		8,939,055		(17,867,903)	(1,745,383)
Capital appropriations from the State of Ohio		820,855				1,241,279	
Capital appropriations from the State of Ohio Capital grants and gifts		1,985,914				7,642,434	
Capital grants and gitts	_	1,965,914			-	7,042,434	
Increase (decrease) in net position		(8,510,319)		8,939,055		(8,984,190)	(1,745,383)
NET POSITION							
Net position - beginning of year	_	402,549,956		107,015,908	_	411,534,146	108,761,291
Net position - end of year	\$	394,039,637	\$	115,954,963	\$	402,549,956 \$	107,015,908

# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Student tuition and fees	\$ 141,399,754	\$ 141,166,074
Federal, state, local, and nongovernmental grants and contracts	87,993,189	94,451,211
Sales and services of educational and other departmental activities	3,046,007	6,576,596
Payments to employees	(201,159,361)	(191,222,060)
Payments for benefits	(56,043,580)	(56,649,160)
Payments to suppliers	(115,585,230)	(109,475,146)
Payments for scholarships and fellowships	(20,782,640)	(22,307,555)
Student loans issued	(3,234,138)	(2,866,037)
Student loans collected	3,225,406	3,317,930
Student loan interest and fees collected	403,097	435,379
Auxiliary enterprise sales	15,104,185	15,507,663
Net cash (used) by operating activities	(145,633,311)	(121,065,105)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
State appropriations	88,941,387	89,648,959
Direct lending receipts	113,693,772	128,743,892
Direct lending disbursements	(115,085,894)	(127,981,282)
Grants for noncapital purposes	29,897,499	30,760,586
Gifts	6,941,956	6,040,854
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities	124,388,720	127,213,009
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Capital appropriations from the State of Ohio	1,050,912	1,086,644
Capital grants and gifts received	1,985,914	1,859,394
Purchases of capital assets	(17,368,444)	(20,710,352)
Sales of capital assets	93,268	34,767
Proceeds from capital debt	34,974,393	59,812,973
Principal paid on capital debt and leases	(5,647,492)	(8,348,747)
Interest paid on capital debt and leases	(3,976,587)	(2,888,192)
Bond interest subsidy	167,909	133,834
Net cash provided by capital and related financing activities	11,279,873	30,980,321
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	90,107,367	22,288,136
Interest on investments	10,824,671	3,657,652
Purchase of investments	(88,567,460)	(70,708,318)
Net cash provided/(used) by investing activities	12,364,578	(44,762,530)
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,399,860	(7,634,305)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Year	19,611,025	27,245,330
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Year	\$ 22,010,885	\$ 19,611,025

# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Reconciliation of operating (loss) to net cash (used) by operating activities:	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Operating loss	\$ (148,851,856)	\$ (139,101,045)
Depreciation	21,421,353	19,705,779
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,066,793	1,186,122
Provision for doubtful loans	535,556	519,081
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable Inventory Prepaid expenses Deferred charges Other assets Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Deferred revenue Compensated absences Refunds and other liabilities Loans to students and employees  Net cash (used) by operating activities	 \$ (13,026,881) 96,060 1,433,202 1,863,176 (394,143) 263,197 (6,413,332) (3,534,939) 200,000 252,791 (544,288) (145,633,311)	 (4,580,067) (25,888) (426,903) 34,130 (31,127) 3,290,928 (1,925,522) 456,127 100,000 (199,532) (67,188)
Noncash Transactions:		
Bond Defeasance Donated Capital Assets Capital Lease	\$ 15,430,055	\$ 5,783,040 181,632
Total Noncash Transactions	\$ 15,430,055	\$ 5,964,672

## WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY

#### Notes to Financial Statements

Year Ended June 30, 2013

# (1) Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# Organization and Basis of Presentation

Wright State University (the University) is a state-assisted institution of higher education created in 1967. The University has an enrollment of approximately 18,000 undergraduate, graduate, and professional students on its two campuses. The financial statements include the university's eight colleges, three schools, and other individual departments. The university's Board of Trustees approves policies and procedures by which the University is governed.

The University is a political subdivision of the State of Ohio and accordingly, its financial statements are discretely presented in the State of Ohio's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 14, and amended by GASB Statement Nos. 39 and 61. These statements provide additional guidance to determine whether certain organizations for which the University is not financially accountable should be reported as a component unit of the University based upon the nature and significance of their relationship to the University. Although the Wright State University Foundation (the Foundation) is a legally separate, tax-exempt entity, it has been determined that it does meet the criteria for discrete presentation within the university's financial statements. The Foundation is the primary fund-raising organization for the University and contributions to the Foundation are primarily restricted to the activities of the University. These contributions are relied upon for the on-going operations of the University. No other affiliated organization, such as the Alumni Association, meets the requirements for inclusion in the university's financial statements. Complete financial statements for the Foundation can be obtained by sending a request to the Wright State University Foundation Bldg., 3640 Colonel Glenn Highway, Dayton, OH 45435.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as prescribed by GASB.

# Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

#### Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the University have been prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and on the full accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenue is recognized in the period earned, or in the case of advances from other governments, when all eligibility requirements are met in accordance with GASB Statement No. 33, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions. Expenses are recognized when the related liabilities are incurred.

# Financial Statements

The University reports as a business-type activity, as defined by GASB Statement No. 35, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for Public Colleges and Universities*. Business-type activities are those that are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties for goods or services.

Beginning with the fall term of 2013, the University transitioned from a 10 week quarter based academic term to a 15 week semester based academic term. This had the consequence of affecting the comparability of certain assets and liabilities between 2012 and 2013 due to a change in the timing of the occurrence of expenses and revenues.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

# New Accounting Standards Adopted

In fiscal year 2013, the University adopted the following new accounting standards as follows: GASB Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre- November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements (GASB 62), incorporates into the GASB's authoritative literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance included in FASB pronouncements, which does not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, and eliminates the criteria to apply post-November 30, 1989 FASB pronouncements that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements.

GASB Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position (GASB 63), establishes a new statement of net position format that reports separately all assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position (which is the net residual amount of the other elements). The statement requires deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources to be reported separately from assets and liabilities. The financial reporting impact resulting from the implementation of GASB 63 in the university's financial statements was the renaming of "Net Assets" to "Net Position", including changing the name of the financial statement from "Statement of Net Assets" to "Statement of Net Position". In addition, because the University had no deferred outflows or deferred inflows at June 30, 2013 and 2012, we have elected not to present these captions on the Statement of Net Position.

### Net position

Net position is classified as follows:

- Invested in capital assets comprises total investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of bond, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets or related debt.
- Restricted net position consists of restricted assets reduced by liabilities and deferred inflow of resources related to those assets.
  - Nonexpendable restricted net position is comprised primarily of gifts which are subject to external restrictions requiring that the principal be invested in perpetuity and that only the cumulative earnings be utilized.
  - Expendable restricted net position represents resources in which the University is legally or contractually obligated to spend resources in accordance with restrictions imposed by external third parties such as guarantors.
- Unrestricted net position represents the net amount of the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that are not included in the determination of net investment in capital assets or the restricted component of net position. These net assets are not subject to external restrictions. Management or the Board of Trustees designates most of the unrestricted net assets for specific purposes in research, academic, capital acquisition, or other initiatives.

It is the university's policy to first apply restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

As of June 30, 2013, the GASB has issued the following statements not yet implemented by the University.

GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, issued March 2012. The provisions of this Statement are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27. This statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers as it relates to pension accounting and reporting for the University. The provisions of this statement are effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2014.

Management has not yet determined the effect these statements will have on the university's financial statements.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts held in the State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio (STAROhio). In addition, several of the external investment managers maintain balances in a money market fund. These balances are included as cash equivalents due to their high liquidity and short-term nature. Other investments purchased with three months or less to maturity are also considered cash equivalents.

## Investments

Investments of publically traded securities are reported at fair value, as established by the major securities markets. Money market investments (U.S. Treasury and Agency obligations) that have a remaining maturity of one year or less at the time of purchase are reported at amortized cost and approximate fair value. If contributed, investments are valued at fair value at the date of donation. Investment income is recognized on an accrual basis. Purchases and sales of investments are accounted for on the trade date basis. Investment trade settlements receivable and payable represent investment transactions occurring on or before June 30, which settle after such date. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are reported as investment income or loss.

All securities purchased by external investment managers in the university's "liquidity" and "diversified" investment pools, with the exception of money market purchases and redemptions, are considered investments regardless of maturity date, as these investment pools are designed more for capital appreciation and have average durations of at least two years. Investments with maturities of less than one year are considered short-term or current.

Alternative investments are carried at estimated fair value provided by the fund's management. Alternative investments are generally less liquid than publically traded securities and include private equity, investments in real assets, and other strategies. These alternative investments are intended to reduce market risk, credit risk and interest rate risk. The University believes the carrying amounts of these holdings are reasonable estimates of the fair values as of year-end. Because these investments are not readily marketable, the estimated value is subject to uncertainty, and therefore, may differ from the value that would have been used had a ready market for the investment existed. Such difference could be material.

### Inventories

Inventories, which consist principally of publications, general merchandise and other goods, are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method.

### Capital Assets and Collections

Capital assets include land, land improvements, infrastructure, buildings, machinery, equipment, software, library books, publications and construction in progress. They are recorded at cost at the date of acquisition, or fair market value at the date of donation in the case of gifts. Building renovations that materially increase the value or extend the useful life of the structure are also capitalized. Normal repairs and maintenance are expensed in the year in which the expenses are incurred. The threshold for capitalizing movable equipment with an estimated useful life of more than one year is \$5,000. Using the straight-line method, capital assets are depreciated over their

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

estimated useful lives; generally, 40 years for buildings, 30 years for land improvements and infrastructure, 15 years for library books and publications, and 5 to 10 years for machinery and equipment. The University does not capitalize works of art or historical treasures that are held for exhibition, education, research, and public service. These collections are not encumbered or sold for financial gain. Consequently, such collections are not recognized in the financial statements.

## Compensated Absences

Compensated absences is comprised of vacation and sick leave benefits. Vacation benefits are accrued as a liability as the benefits are earned if the employee's right to receive compensation is attributable to service already rendered and it is probable that the employer will compensate the employee for the benefits through paid time off or some other means. Sick leave benefits are accrued as a liability using the vesting method. The liability will include employees currently eligible to receive termination benefits and those identified as probable of receiving payment in the future.

#### Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists primarily of the amounts received in advance from grant and contract sponsors that have not yet been earned under the terms of the agreement and amounts received in advance for tuition and fees not yet earned. These deferrals were \$19.8 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2013 and \$26.1 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2012.

# Revenues and Expenses

Revenues and expenses are classified as operating or nonoperating. Operating revenues are resources primarily from exchange transaction activities. These include payments received for services, such as tuition and fees, and most grants and contracts. Nonoperating revenues are from non-programmatic sources and have the characteristics of nonexchange transactions. They include state appropriations, some federal and state grants, gifts, and investment income. Nearly all of the university's expenses are a result of exchange transactions, and therefore classified as operating expenses. The major recurring nonoperating expenses are net losses on the disposition of capital assets and interest expense on capital assets-related debt.

# **OhioLINK**

Wright State University serves as the fiscal agent for the statewide library program known as OhioLINK. This program's revenues from state appropriations, federal pass-through grants and from other college and university libraries and expenses are all included in the statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. The total revenues and expenses attributable to OhioLINK were \$38,074,013 and \$37,688,551 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a result of actions taken by the State of Ohio to streamline operations and improve efficiency, the role of fiscal agent for OhioLINK will be transitioning elsewhere in the State during the year ending June 30, 2014.

# Scholarship Allowances

Scholarship allowances represent aid awarded to the student in the form of reduced tuition and are computed and reported in the financial statements under the alternate method as prescribed by the National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO). Financial aid in the form of a cash payment to the student is reported as scholarship and fellowship expense in the financial statements. Third party loans such as Stafford loans and certain aid awarded to the students by third parties are credited to the student's account as if the student made the payment.

# Income Taxes

The University is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code. However, certain revenues are considered unrelated business income and are taxable under Internal Revenue Code Sections 511 through 513.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

# Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

# (2) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

The classification of cash, cash equivalents and investments in the financial statements is based on criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 9. Cash equivalents are defined to include investments with original maturities of three months or less. Consistent with this definition, university funds on deposit in the State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio are classified as cash equivalents in the statements of net position. However, for GASB Statement No. 3 disclosure purposes (see below), the funds in the State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio are classified as investments.

## Deposits

Under state law, the university's deposits must be secured by federal deposit insurance and collateralized for amounts in excess of FDIC coverage. Collateral may be pledged or pooled. Pooled collateral may be held on the financial institution's premises or held by its trust department or agent on its behalf. The fair value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal one hundred five percent of the total amount of public deposits to be secured by the pooled securities. These securities may be held in the name of the University or the pledging bank by a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties. The University does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk.

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the university's bank balances are \$24,318,877 and \$21,418,759, respectively. Of these balances, \$20,534,845 and \$17,464,466, respectively, are uninsured with collateral held by pledging banks not in the university's name.

At June 30, the carrying amount of deposits (book balances) is as follows:

	 2013	2012
Petty cash	\$ 41,636 \$	54,471
Demand deposits	19,541,179	16,849,010
Money market funds	 2,287,242	2,068,717
Total	\$ 21,870,057 \$	18,972,198

The difference in the carrying amount and bank balances is caused by items in-transit (primarily outstanding checks) and by cash on hand.

## Investments

The university's investment policy provides for the prudent investment of the university's assets in a manner which will meet three main objectives: safety, liquidity and return on investment. The investment policy parallels state law which requires an amount equal to at least twenty five percent of the university's investment portfolio be invested in securities of the United States government or one of its agencies or instrumentalities, the treasurer of the State of Ohio's pooled investment program, obligations of the State of Ohio, or any political subdivision of the State of Ohio, certificates of deposit of any national bank located in the State of Ohio, written repurchase

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

agreements with any eligible Ohio financial institution that is a member of the federal reserve system or federal home loan bank, money market funds or bankers' acceptances maturing in two hundred seventy days or less which are eligible for purchase by the federal reserve system.

The fair value of investments at June 30 is as follows:

		Fair Value			
Description		2013	2012		
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	4,984,713 \$	2,877,054		
U.S. Agency securities		59,923,465	48,813,656		
Stock and traded securities		618,405	605,722		
Corporate bonds and notes		5,161,567	4,772,394		
State Treasury Asset Reserve of Ohio		140,828	638,827		
Private equity and Limited Partnerships		12,645,363	500,000		
Equity funds		71,278,094	74,992,125		
Bond funds		28,480,344	55,951,572		
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit		8,837,462			
Other		3,200	3,200		
Total	\$_	192,073,441 \$	189,154,550		

The various investments in stocks, securities, mutual funds and other investments are exposed to a variety of uncertainties, including interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is possible that changes in the values of these investments could occur in the near term. Such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the financial statements of the University. In June 2012, the University entered into a commitment with a private equity fund for \$5,000,000. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the University has an outstanding commitment of \$4,000,000 and \$4,500,000, respectively.

# Interest Rate Risk

The university's investment policy minimizes the risk of the loss of value due to changing interest rates through the use of target durations for each of the university's investment pools. The Cash Pool is maintained to meet the daily obligations of the University and consists of highly liquid instruments with little to no risk of loss of principal. The maximum weighted average maturity for the Cash Pool is less than one year. The Liquidity Pool provides a source of funds in the event the Cash Pool is insufficient to meet the university's cash needs and maintains a weighted average life of less than five years. The Diversified Investment Pool provides the University an opportunity to earn a higher rate of return through investments with longer durations. Equity managers are limited to a beta (volatility) of no more than 1.2 – 1.4 times the relevant benchmark. Duration for fixed income managed accounts must be within twenty percent of that of the Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

The maturities of university investments at June 30 are as follows:

	_	2013 Investment Maturities (in years)					
			Less				More
Investment Type		Fair Value	Than 1	_	1-5	6-10	Than 10
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	4,984,713 \$	2,132,155	\$	2,852,558 \$	\$	
U.S. Agency securities		59,923,465	46,370,757		12,224,495	1,110,178	218,035
Corporate bonds and notes		5,161,567	96,942		4,529,647	33,030	501,948
Bond funds		28,480,344	698,885		13,035,828	14,745,631	
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	_	8,837,462	6,288,915	_	2,548,547		
Total	\$ _	107,387,551 \$	55,587,654	\$	<u>35,191,075</u> \$	15,888,839 \$	719,983
					ent Maturities (i		
	_						
			Less				More
Investment Type		Fair Value	Than 1	_	1-5	6-10	Than 10
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	2,877,054 \$		\$	2,877,054 \$	\$	
U.S. Agency securities		48,813,656	6,133,053		41,253,802	1,409,565	17,236
Corporate bonds and notes		4,772,394	468,076		3,626,932	98,416	578,970
Bond funds	_	55,951,572	15,436,381	_	19,096,668	21,418,523	
Total	\$_	112,414,676 \$	22,037,510	_\$	66,854,456 \$	22,926,504 \$	596,206

The University invests in mortgage pass-through securities issued by FNMA, GNMA and FHLMC and commercial banking organizations which are included above in the amounts listed as U.S. Agency Securities. Prepayment options embedded in these securities cause them to be highly sensitive to interest rate changes. Generally when interest rates fall, more mortgages are prepaid. This eliminates the interest income that would have been received under the original amortization schedule. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the total value of mortgage pass-through securities is \$1,799,068 and \$2,050,103, respectively.

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that the issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation. The university's investment policy limits exposure to credit risk by limiting purchases of fixed income securities to no lower than AA for the Cash Pool accounts and no lower than BBB for the Liquidity Pool accounts. At least fifty percent of the Cash Pool must be invested in U.S. Treasuries or Agencies. In addition, maximum exposure to high yield bonds cannot exceed fifteen percent of a Diversified Investment Pool Fixed Income account. All Commercial Paper must have a minimum rating of A1/P1.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

The university's credit risk at June 30 is as follows:

2013 Credit Ratings												
Investment Type		Total	_	AAA/Aaa	_	AAVAa	_	Α	_	BBB/Baa	_	Not Rated
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	4,984,713	\$		\$	4,984,713	\$		\$		\$	
U.S. Agency securities		59,923,465				59,923,465						
Corporate bonds and												
notes		5,161,567		1,255,192		1,023,299		1,991,301		891,775		
State Treasury Asset												
Reserve (STAROhio)		140,828		140,828								
Bond funds		28,480,344				17,322,981		3,141,031		8,016,332		
Negotiable Certificates												
of Deposit		8,837,462										8,837,462
·			-		-		_		_			
Total	\$	107,528,379	\$	1,396,020	\$	83,254,458	\$	5,132,332	\$	8,908,107	\$	8,837,462
			=		_		-		-			
				2012 Cr	ed	it Ratings						
Investment Type		Total		AAAVAaa		AA/Aa		Α		BBB/Baa		
			-		-		_		_		-	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	2,877,054	\$		\$	2,877,054	\$		\$			
U.S. Agency securities		48,813,656				48,813,656						
Corporate bonds and												
notes		4,772,394		1,250,637		870,123		1,890,941		760,693		
State Treasury Asset												
Reserve (STAROhio)		638,827		638,827								
Bond funds		55,951,572		10,130,705		32,826,373		8,965,963		4,028,531		
			-	.,,.	-		-		-		-	
Total	\$	113,053,503	\$	12,020,169	\$	85,387,206	\$	10,856,904	\$	4,789,224		

The University invests in Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), or Ginnie Mae, securities which are included above in the amounts listed as U.S. Agency Securities. Ginnie Mae is a wholly-owned government corporation. As such, securities issued by Ginnie Mae are explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the University holds GNMA securities with a total value of \$39,147 and \$76,793, respectively.

As of June 30, 2013, the University holds \$8,837,462 in Negotiable Certificates of Deposits. These certificates are held in the project trust account for the Series 2012 Bonds. Although the certificates are not rated, each certificate is issued by a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and is held in an amount covered by this insurance.

#### Custodial Credit Risk

For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the University will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities in the possession of an outside party. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$78,907,207 and \$56,463,104, respectively, is held by the investment's counterparty, not in the name of the University, but internally designated as held for the University. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$66,379,504 and \$44,039,062 of the investments held by the counterparty are held in trust accounts for the proceeds related to the Series 2011 and 2012 Bond Funds and the 2013 Notes Payable.

# Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

The university's investment policy minimizes custodial credit risk by limiting the amount invested in any bank certificate of deposit unless the investments are fully collateralized by U.S. Treasury or Agency securities. In addition, bank certificates of deposit and bankers' acceptances must be issued by members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

## Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk associated with a lack of diversification. It is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the university's investment in a single issuer. Investment managers are required by the investment policy to limit exposure for any one single issue to no more than five percent of the portfolio, at cost. This limit does not apply to investments in U.S. securities. Equity and fixed income managers are required to limit exposure to any one economic sector to forty percent of the portfolio. Cash Pool managers must limit Commercial Paper in any one issuer to no more than five percent of the manager's portfolio.

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, sixteen percent (\$30,290,011) and fourteen percent (\$26,568,003), respectively, of the university's portfolio is held in various Federal Home Loan Bank securities. Of these securities, \$30,230,841 are restricted and held in debt related project funds as of June 30, 2013, as compared to \$26,220,410 held in project funds as of June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, eleven percent (\$21,021,896) and eight percent (\$15,320,013), respectively, of the university's portfolio is held in various Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. securities. Of these securities, \$19,643,074 are restricted and held in debt related project funds as of June 30, 2013, as compared to \$13,876,801 held in debt related project funds as of June 30, 2012.

# Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk relates to the possible adverse effects changes in exchange rates can have on the fair value of investments. According to the university's investment policy, international managers are expected to maintain an appropriate diversification with respect to currency and country exposure. All other managers are not permitted to invest in non-dollar denominated securities. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the university's exposure to foreign currency is limited to its investment in international mutual funds of \$25,621,664 and \$22,476,678, respectively.

# Unspent Debt Proceeds

The university's unspent debt proceeds at June 30 are as follows:

			Amount Unspent				
Debt	Date Issued	Amount Issued	2013	2012			
Series 2009	December 2009 \$	11,420,000 \$	\$	4,685			
Series 2011A	November 2011	55,240,000	42,176,593	45,274,345			
Series 2012A	November 2012	23,195,000	9,771,262				
2013 Notes							
Series A & B	February 2013	25,500,000	21,666,389				
Total	\$	115,355,000 \$	73,614,244 \$	45,279,030			

The unspent proceeds are held in Project Fund trust accounts as provided for in the bond resolutions approved by the Board of Trustees. The bond resolutions also require the bond proceeds to be held by a bank or trust company which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank of New York Mellon acts as the trustee of the bond project funds for the Series 2009, 2011, and 2012. The Huntington National Bank acts as the trustee of the bond project fund for the Series 2013. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$7,234,740 and \$1,239,968, respectively, of the bond proceeds are classified as restricted cash and cash

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

equivalents in the statements of net position. The remaining unspent proceeds are classified as restricted short-term and restricted noncurrent investments in the statements of net position.

For disclosure purposes the unspent proceeds as of June 30 are classified as follows:

	_	Year Ended June 30				
	_	2013		2012		
Carry amount of deposits:	-			_		
Demand deposits	\$	5,666,831	\$			
Money market funds	_	1,567,909		1,239,968		
Total deposits	_	7,234,740		1,239,968		
Fair value of investments:						
U.S. Treasury securities		2,001,180				
U.S. Agency securities		55,540,862		44,039,062		
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	_	8,837,462				
Total investments	_	66,379,504		44,039,062		
Total unspent bond proceeds	\$	73,614,244	\$_	45,279,030		

### Investment Income

The composition of investment income is as follows:

	_	Year Ended June 30				
		2013		2012		
Net interest and dividend income	\$	2,963,093	\$	2,174,180		
Realized gains on sales		7,834,744		1,656,701		
Unrealized gains/(losses) in fair value		4,983,212		(4,054,699)		
Total	\$	15,781,049	\$	(223,818)		

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

### (3) Accounts Receivable

The composition of accounts receivable at June 30 is as follows:

		2013	2012
Sponsor receivables Student and student-related accounts Wright State University Foundation Interest receivable State appropriations Other, primarily departmental sales and services	\$	15,828,636 \$ 14,830,699 791,698 249,563 5,278,861	9,536,248 9,196,039 1,046,451 276,397 398,026 3,488,515
Total Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	_	36,979,457 1,715,000	23,941,676 1,555,000
Net accounts receivable	\$ <u></u>	35,264,457 \$	22,386,676

### (4) <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital assets activity for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows:

		Balance 7/1/2012	Additions	_	Retirements		Balance 6/30/2013
Land Land improvements and	\$	4,051,702 \$		\$		\$	4,051,702
infrastructure		43,591,227	4,822,879				48,414,106
Buildings		373,835,969	6,053,506				379,889,475
Machinery and equipment		78,832,775	2,160,026		(2,419,474)		78,573,327
Library books and							
publications		50,670,134	1,749,155		(104,541)		52,314,748
Construction in progress	-	2,915,251	2,460,502	_		_	5,375,753
Total		553,897,058	17,246,068		(2,524,015)		568,619,111
Less accumulated depreciation: Land improvements and							
infrastructure		15,134,678	1,414,958				16,549,636
Buildings		146,521,699	8,984,073				155,505,772
Machinery and equipment Library books and		49,677,009	8,690,310		(2,128,620)		56,238,699
publications		33,073,653	2,332,012		(104,541)		35,301,124
Total accumulated depreciation		244,407,039	21,421,353	-	(2,233,161)		263,595,231
Capital assets, net	\$	309,490,019 \$	(4,175,285)	\$	(290,854)	\$_	305,023,880

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

		Balance 7/1/2011		Additions	Retirements		Transfers	Balance 6/30/2012
	_	77172011		Additions	 retirements	-	Transicis	0/30/2012
Land	\$	3,699,530	\$	352,172	\$ :	\$	\$	4,051,702
Land improvements and								
infrastructure		37,271,284		6,319,943				43,591,227
Buildings		364,792,110		8,948,213			95,646	373,835,969
Machinery and equipment		81,074,752		10,148,412	(12,390,389)			78,832,775
Library books and								
publications		50,464,737		1,877,197	(1,671,800)			50,670,134
Construction in progress	_	3,010,897	. –		 		(95,646)	2,915,251
Total		540,313,310		27,645,937	(14,062,189)			553,897,058
Less accumulated depreciation:								
Land improvements and								
infrastructure		13,923,325		1,211,353				15,134,678
Buildings		137,643,315		8,878,384				146,521,699
Machinery and equipment		51,906,446		7,251,871	(9,481,308)			49,677,009
Library books and					, , ,			
publications		32,381,282		2,364,171	(1,671,800)			33,073,653
Total accumulated depreciation	_	235,854,368	_	19,705,779	 (11,153,108)			244,407,039
Capital assets, net	\$_	304,458,942	\$_	7,940,158	\$ (2,909,081)	\$	\$_	309,490,019

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

### (5) <u>Long-Term Liabilities</u>

Long-term liabilities consist of bonds payable, notes payable, equipment lease purchase obligations, and compensated absences. Activity for long-term liabilities for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows:

	Beginning Balance 07/01/2012 Additions	Principal Repayments Reductions	Ending Balance Current 06/30/2013 Portion
Bonds, notes and equipment lease purchase obligations: General obligation bonds Notes Payable Equipment leases	\$ 84,142,342 \$ 25,335,700 25,500,000 282,670	\$ 20,758,116 \$ 100,554	8 88,719,926 \$ 6,019,647 25,500,000 1,505,505 182,116 109,421
Total bonds, notes and equipment leases	84,425,012 50,835,700	20,858,670	114,402,042 7,634,573
Other liabilities: Compensated absences	16,800,000 5,887,583	5,687,583	17,000,000 6,000,000
Total other liabilities	16,800,000 5,887,583	5,687,583	17,000,000 6,000,000
Total long-term liabilities	\$ <u>101,225,012</u> \$ <u>56,723,283</u>	\$ <u>26,546,253</u> \$	<u>131,402,042</u> \$ <u>13,634,573</u>
	Beginning Balance 07/01/2011 Additions	Principal Repayments Reductions	Ending Balance Current 06/30/2012 Portion
Bonds and equipment lease purchase obligations: General obligation bonds Equipment leases	\$ 32,494,005 \$ 60,091,241 196,123 181,632	\$ 8,442,904 \$ 95,085	5 84,142,342 \$ 5,250,031 282,670 100,553
Total bonds and equipment leases	32,690,128 60,272,873	8,537,989	84,425,012 5,350,584
Other liabilities: Compensated absences	16,700,000 6,111,580	6,011,580	16,800,000 6,000,000
Total other liabilities	16,700,000 6,111,580	6,011,580	16,800,000 6,000,000
Total long-term liabilities	\$ <u>49,390,128</u> \$ <u>66,384,453</u>	\$ <u>14,549,569</u> \$	<u>101,225,012</u> \$ <u>11,350,584</u>

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Bonds payable on June 30, 2013 consist of Series 2003, 2004, 2009, 2011, and 2012 General Receipts Serial and Term bonds. The maturity dates, interest rates, and the outstanding principal balances of capital activities at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Description	Maturity Dates	Interest Rates		Outstanding Principal	_	Unamortized Premium	_	Total
Bonds payable:								
Series 2003	2013-2016	4.00% - 5.00%	\$	25,000	\$		\$	25,000
Series 2004	2013-2014	3.00% - 3.75%		2,070,000		57,285		2,127,285
Series 2009	2013-2019	2.93% - 5.31%		7,145,000				7,145,000
Series 2011A	2013-2031	3.00% - 5.00%		50,135,000		3,055,149		53,190,149
Series 2011B	2014-2023	2.13% - 3.75%		1,485,000				1,485,000
Series 2012	2013-2032	3.00% - 5.00%	_	22,690,000	_	2,057,492	_	24,747,492
Total bonds payable				83,550,000		5,169,926		88,719,926
Notes payable: Ohio Air Quality								
Development:	0044 0004	4.700/		47 407 000				47 407 000
Series A	2014-2024	1.78%		17,187,300				17,187,300
Series B	2024-2028	4.16%	-	8,312,700	_		-	8,312,700
Total notes payable				25,500,000				25,500,000
Equipment lease								
purchase obligations	2013-2016	3.57% - 11.57%	_	182,116	_		_	182,116
Total			\$_	109,232,116	\$_	5,169,926	\$_	114,402,042

The scheduled maturities of bonds, notes, and capital leases for the next five years and for the subsequent periods of five years are as follows:

Year Ended						
June 30		Principal	_	Interest	_	Total
	· <u> </u>		-	_	_	_
2014	\$	7,274,927	\$	4,356,206	\$	11,631,133
2015		6,527,321		4,130,353		10,657,674
2016		6,682,254		3,922,096		10,604,350
2017		6,882,338		3,684,460		10,566,798
2018		7,095,593		3,437,851		10,533,444
2019-2023		29,739,704		13,389,444		43,129,148
2024-2028		30,674,979		7,598,566		38,273,545
2029-2032		14,355,000		1,456,188	_	15,811,188
			-			_
Total	\$_	109,232,116	\$	41,975,164	\$_	151,207,280
	_		-		_	

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Interest expense incurred on indebtedness for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$3,723,349 and \$2,620,264, respectively. In 2013, interest expense on construction related debt of \$265,208, net of \$215,600 interest earned on invested funds, was capitalized to the related projects. There was no capitalized interest in 2012.

In November 2012, the University issued \$23,195,000 in General Receipts bonds. The bonds have an effective interest rate of 2.87% and consist of \$21,400,000 serial bonds and a \$1,795,000 term bond. Of the total bonds, \$8,965,000 were issued to pay the associated bond issuance costs and to finance construction of a Student Academic Success Center to be located within a new classroom building, a new multi-functional student commons building, and a grounds storage facility for physical plant.

The remaining \$14,230,000 Series 2012 bonds were issued as an advanced refunding of \$14,425,000 outstanding Series 2004 General Receipts serial and term bonds which have interest rates ranging from 4.5% to 5.0%. The Series 2004 bonds will be called and bondholders will be paid in May 2014. A portion of the proceeds of the Series 2012 bonds (after payment of issuance expenses) were used to purchase U.S. government securities which were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the refunded Series 2004 bonds. As a result, the \$14,425,000 Series 2004 bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from long-term debt. The balance of the defeased debt in the escrow account at June 30, 2013 is approximately \$14.4 million.

The advance refunding of the Series 2004 bonds resulted in decreased total debt service payments of \$1,614,376 over the next 17 years. Refunding the Series 2004 bonds also resulted in an economic gain (the difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$1,310,170. Following the refunding, \$4,065,000 of Series 2004 bonds remained outstanding, as they were not eligible to be called. Of this balance, \$1,995,000 was paid in May 2013.

In February 2013, the University entered into a Loan Agreement with the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority (OAQDA) to fund a second phase of an energy conservation project. The Loan Agreement provided for two notes with the OAQDA: a Series A Note and a Series B Note. Pursuant to the Series A Note with OAQDA, the University received the proceeds from the sale of a \$17,187,300 OAQDA Tax Exempt Revenue Bond (Wright State University, Campuswide Energy Conservation Project), 2013 Series A. The Series A Note bears the 1.78% interest rate of the related bond with annual principal payments in May 2014 through May 2024. Pursuant to the Series B Note, the University received the proceeds from the sale of a \$8,312,700 OAQDA Tax Credit Revenue Bond (Wright State University, Campuswide Energy Conservation Project), 2013 Series B (Qualified Energy Conservation Bond-Direct Payment). The Series B Note bears the 4.16% interest rate of the related bond with annual principal payments in May 2024 through May 2028. As discussed further below, the Series B Note is eligible for a large federal rebate which reduces the effective net interest rate to .94%.

All general receipts of the University, except for state appropriations, are pledged for payment of all outstanding bonds. The Series A and Series B Notes evidence the university's obligation to make loan payment from Available Receipts. The Notes are subordinated to the university's obligations to pay debt service on all General Receipts Obligations.

The Series 2009 Bonds are Federally Taxable – Build America Bonds. The University is eligible for a 35 percent rebate of interest expense paid for the Series 2009 Bonds in the form of a federal subsidy. The Series 2013B Bond is a Qualified Energy Conservation Bond eligible for a 70 percent federal rebate based on the Qualified Tax Credit Rate as of the bond sale date (4.60 percent). The benefit of the rebate has been assigned to the University. The rebates received for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$167,909 and \$133,834, respectively. The rebates were reported as Other Nonoperating Revenues and do not reduce the amount reported

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

as interest expense for the year. Likewise, the amounts reported above for future interest expense have not been reduced by the federal rebates anticipated for future years. The University expects to receive \$3,975,764 in future federal rebates through 2028.

### (6) Operating Leases

The University leases certain properties and equipment under operating lease agreements. Facilities and equipment under these agreements are not recorded on the statements of net position. Rent expenses for the year ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,745,892 and \$2,946,264, respectively.

Future minimum payments for all material operating leases as of June 30, 2013, are as follows:

2014	\$ 1,561,473
2015	1,047,384
2016	623,000
2017	188,502
2018	 35,563
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 3,455,922

### (7) Retirement Plans

University faculty participate in either the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS) or an alternative retirement plan (ARP). Substantially all other employees participate in either the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) or the ARP. Both STRS and OPERS are statewide cost-sharing multiple employer plans. Both plans provide retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits for both STRS and OPERS is provided by state statute per the Ohio Revised Code.

Both STRS and OPERS issue stand-alone financial reports. Interested parties may obtain a copy by making a written request to STRS at 275 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3771 or by calling (614) 227-4090 or making a written request to OPERS at 277 East Town Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-4642 or by calling (614) 466-2085.

Plan participants are required to contribute 10 percent and the University 14 percent of the employees' covered compensation for both STRS and OPERS. Effective July 1, 2013, the plan participants' contribution percentage for STRS will increase to 11 percent of employees' covered compensation. The Ohio Revised Code provides statutory authority for both employee and employer contributions. The university's contributions to STRS were \$8,813,581, \$8,930,944, and \$8,769,990, and to OPERS were \$8,381,947, \$8,327,165, and \$8,320,220, for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Certain full-time university faculty and staff have the option to choose the ARP in place of STRS or OPERS. The ARP is a defined contribution plan which provides employees with the opportunity to establish individual retirement accounts with a defined group of investment options, with each participant having control of the assets and investment options associated with those assets. The administrators of the plan are the providers of the plan investment options. Authority to establish and amend benefits and contribution requirements for the ARP is provided by state statute per the Ohio Revised Code.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Under the provisions of ARP, the required contribution rate for plan participants is 10 percent of employees' covered compensation for employees who would otherwise participate in STRS or Effective July 1, 2013, the plan participants' contribution percentage for those who would otherwise participate in STRS will increase to 11 percent. The university's contributions to a participating faculty member's account and to STRS are 10.5 percent and 3.5 percent of a participant's compensation, respectively. Effective July 1, 2013, the university's contributions to a participating faculty member's account and to STRS will change to 9.5 percent and 4.5 percent of a participant's compensation, respectively. The university's contributions to a participating staff member's account and to OPERS are 13.23 percent and .77 percent of a participant's compensation, respectively. Plan participants' contributions were \$5,353,406, \$4,906,353, and \$4,654,491, and the university's contributions to the plan providers amounted to \$6,384,440. \$5,718,262, and \$5,421,393 for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. In addition, the amounts contributed to STRS by the University on behalf of ARP participants were \$1,250,936, \$1,072,697, and \$1,003,976, respectively, for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011. The amount contributed to OPERS by the University on behalf of ARP participants was \$152,637, \$145,362, and \$171,328 for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

### (8) Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

The Ohio Revised Code provides the statutory authority for public employers to fund postretirement health care through their contributions to STRS Ohio and OPERS.

### State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio

STRS Ohio provides access to health care coverage to eligible retirees who participated in the Defined Benefit or Combined Plans. Coverage under the current program includes hospitalization, physicians' fees, prescription drugs and reimbursement of monthly Medicare Part B premiums. Pursuant to Chapter 3307 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Retirement Board has discretionary authority over how much, if any, of the associated health care costs will be absorbed by STRS Ohio. All benefit recipients, for the most recent year, pay a portion of the health care costs in the form of a monthly premium.

Under Ohio Law, funding for postemployment health care may be deducted from employer contributions. Of the 14% employer contribution rate, 1% of the covered payroll was allocated to postemployment health care for 2013, 2012, and 2011. The portion of the university's 2013, 2012, and 2011 contributions to STRS Ohio used to fund postemployment benefits was \$629,542, \$637,925, and \$626,428 for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

### Ohio Public Employees Retirement System

OPERS provides postemployment health care coverage to age-and-service retirees with 10 or more years of qualifying Ohio service credit. Health care coverage for disability benefit recipients and qualified survivor benefits is available. The Ohio Revised Code permits, but does not mandate, OPERS to provide OPEB to its eligible members and beneficiaries. Authority to establish and amend benefits is provided in Chapter 145 of the Ohio Revised Code.

OPERS' Post Employment Health Care plan was established under, and is administered in accordance with, Internal Revenue Code 401(h). Each year, the OPERS Retirement Board determines the portion of the employer contribution rate that will be set aside for funding of postemployment health care benefits. The portion of employer contributions allocated to health care for members in the Traditional Plan was an effective rate of 2.5%, 4.0%, and 4.5% for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The portion of the university's 2013, 2012, and 2011 contributions to OPERS used to fund postretirement benefits was \$1,496,776, \$2,379,190, and \$2,674,357. The OPERS Retirement Board is also authorized to establish rules for the payment of a portion of the health care benefits provided, by the retiree or their surviving

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

beneficiaries. Payment amounts vary depending on the number of covered dependents and the coverage selected.

### (9) State Support

The University is a state-assisted institution of higher education which receives a student subsidy from the State of Ohio primarily based upon the number of successful degree and course completions. This subsidy is calculated annually by the Ohio Board of Regents, Ohio's higher education advising and coordinating board.

In addition to student subsidies, the State of Ohio provides funding for construction of major plant facilities. The funding is obtained from the issuance of general obligation bonds by the Ohio Public Facilities Commission (OPFC), which in turn results in construction and subsequent transfer of the facility to the University by the Ohio Board of Regents. Costs incurred during construction are included in construction in progress and recognized as capital appropriations. Upon completion of a facility, the Ohio Board of Regents turns control over to the University.

University facilities are not pledged as collateral for the revenue bonds. Instead, the bonds are supported by a pledge of monies in the Higher Education Bond Service Fund established in the custody of the Treasurer of State. If sufficient monies are not available from this fund, a pledge exists to assess a special student fee uniformly applicable to students in state-assisted institutions of higher education throughout the State. As a result of the above described financial assistance provided by the State to the University, outstanding debt issued by OPFC is not included in the university's financial statements.

### (10) Commitments and Contingencies

At June 30, 2013, the University is committed under contractual obligations for:

Capital expenditures	\$	22,958,795
Non-capital goods and services	_	11,801,053
Total contractual commitments	\$	34,759,848
These commitments are being funded from the following sources:		
State appropriations requested and approved	\$	2,662
University funds	-	34,757,186
Total sources	\$	34,759,848

The University is presently involved as a defendant or codefendant in various matters of litigation. The university's administration believes that the ultimate disposition of these matters would not have a material adverse effect upon the financial statements of the University.

The University receives significant assistance from numerous federal and state agencies in the form of grants. The disbursement of funds received under these programs generally requires compliance with terms and conditions specified in the grant agreements and are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims resulting from such audits could become a liability. Management believes that any potential disallowance of claims would not have a material effect on the financial statements.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

The University maintains comprehensive insurance coverage with private carriers for real property, building contents and vehicles. Vehicle policies include liability coverage for bodily injury and property damage. The University also carries professional coverage for employees and its Board of Trustees. Over the past three years, settlement amounts related to these insured risks have not exceeded the university's coverage amounts. There has been no significant change in coverage from last year.

The University is self-insured for all employee health care benefits with Anthem and Express Scripts, Delta Dental, and Vision Service Plan as the third party administrators. Under the terms of the policy, the University is billed for actual claims on a weekly or monthly basis. In addition, liabilities for estimates of outstanding claims and claims incurred but not reported under self-insurance programs have been recorded in accrued liabilities. Changes in the self-insured health care liabilities for the past three fiscal years are as follows:

	_	2013	2012	2011
Liability at beginning of fiscal year	\$	1,800,000 \$	1,600,000 \$	1,500,000
Current year claims including changes in estimates		27,392,159	27,825,820	25,418,952
Claim payments	_	(27,592,159)	(27,625,820)	(25,318,952)
Liability at end of fiscal year	\$	1,600,000 \$	1,800,000 \$	1,600,000

Health insurance claims are based upon estimates of the claims liabilities. Estimates are based upon past experience, medical inflation trends, and current claims outstanding, including year-end lag analysis. Differences between the estimated claims payable and actual claims paid are reported in the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position.

### (11) Selected Disclosures of the Wright State University Foundation (a component unit)

The University is the sole beneficiary of the Wright State University Foundation, Inc., a separate, not-for-profit entity governed by a separate Board of Trustees, organized for the purpose of promoting educational and research activities. Assets of the Foundation totaled approximately \$119,900,000 and \$110,300,000 at June 30, 2013 and 2012. Such assets relate principally to donor restricted funds and are discretely presented in the accompanying financial statements. Amounts transferred to the University from the Foundation are recorded as nonoperating "gifts" and "capital grants and gifts" in the accompanying financial statements. Following are selected disclosures from the Wright State University Foundation, Inc. financial statements.

### A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to not-for-profit organizations, the financial statements of the Foundation have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") is the accepted standards setting body for establishing accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The following is a summary of the Foundation's significant accounting and reporting policies presented to assist the reader in interpreting the financial statements and other data in this report.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Foundation considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

### Pledges Receivable

Unconditional pledges are recorded in the period that the pledges are received. Conditional pledges are recorded in the period in which the conditions have been met. Payments on pledges to be collected in future years are recorded at net present value. All pledges are presented net of an allowance for doubtful collections.

### Gifts Receivable from Trusts Held by Others

Irrevocable trusts which will benefit the Foundation are recognized as gift revenue and as a receivable in an amount equal to the present value of the estimated future benefits to be received when trust assets are distributed. Adjustments to the receivable to reflect revaluation of the present value of the estimated future payments to the donor-designated beneficiaries and changes in actuarial assumptions during the term of the trust will be recognized as changes in the value of the asset.

#### Investment in Securities

Investments are stated at fair value. The fair values of investments are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. Investments are initially recorded at their acquisition cost if they were purchased and at fair value if they are received through a contribution or exchange transaction. Securities traded on a national exchange are valued at their last reported sales price on the exchange on which they are traded.

Alternative investments, such as hedge funds, private equity and venture capital instruments, for which there is no ready market, are valued at fair value as estimated by management. To estimate fair value, management may rely on valuations reported by the general partners of such investments in unaudited financial reports and/or the Foundation's independent investment advisor. The Foundation believes the carrying amount of these financial instruments is a reasonable estimate of fair value. Because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation in the absence of readily ascertainable market values, the estimated values of those investments may differ from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for such investments or if the investments were realized, and the differences could be material.

Realized gains or losses are included in the statement of activities. Unrealized gains or losses are based on the differences between cost and fair value of each classification of security and are reported in the statement of activities.

### Annuity Assets/Payable

Under charitable gift annuity agreements, the Foundation has recorded the donated assets at fair value and the liabilities to the donor and/or his/her beneficiaries at the present value of the estimated future payments to be distributed by the Foundation to such individuals. The amount of the gift is the difference between the asset and liability and is recorded as gift revenue.

### Capital Assets

Expenditures for property and equipment and items that substantially increase the useful lives of existing assets are capitalized at cost. It is the policy of the Foundation to capitalize additions with an original cost of \$5,000 or more. Assets acquired by gift are valued at fair value as of the date donated. The Foundation provides for depreciation using the straight-line method at rates designed to depreciate the costs of assets over estimated useful lives as follows:

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

	Years
Land improvements	10-25
Buildings	20-65
Machinery and equipment	5-10

### Deposits Held in Custody for Others

These assets represent resources received and held by the Foundation as custodian. The assets are placed in the Foundation's investment portfolio and receive a pro-rata share of investment earnings.

### Net Assets

The Foundation's net assets are classified into three categories: (1) unrestricted net assets, which include no donor-imposed restrictions, (2) temporarily restricted net assets, which include donor-imposed restrictions that will be satisfied in the future and (3) permanently restricted net assets, which include donor-imposed restrictions that the assets be maintained permanently.

The unrestricted net assets consist of operating funds available for any purpose authorized by the board of trustees. Included in unrestricted net assets are funds that have been designated as endowments by the board (quasi-endowments). The board may elect to reverse the decision to designate unrestricted net assets.

Temporarily restricted net assets consist of funds arising from a gift in which the donor has stipulated, as a condition of the gift, restrictions on how or when the gift may be spent. Temporarily restricted net assets also include unspent gains on donor-restricted gifts by virtue of the Foundation's spending. This policy, which was approved by the board of trustees, aims to protect the Foundation's donor-designated endowments from the effects of inflation by reinvesting a portion of the earnings on these funds as if they were endowment funds. Since the reinvestment of earnings from endowments was not explicitly designated by the donors, the reinvested earnings cannot be classified as permanently restricted under GAAP.

Quasi-endowment funds may also be established by request of a University college or department in accord with the Foundation's quasi-endowment policy. The objective of this policy is to allow significantly large temporarily restricted funds to generate earnings that may be used by the requesting unit for the purpose(s) specified by the donor.

Permanently restricted net assets consist of funds arising from a gift or bequest in which the donor has stipulated, as a condition of the gift, that the principal be maintained in perpetuity and only the investment income from investment of the funds be expended. Certain donor endowments also specify that a portion of the earnings from the investment be reinvested as principal, or that all income earned over a period of time be reinvested. Amounts are also transferred for specific uses from time to time, as requested by the donor.

### Gifts and Contributions

Gifts and contributions are recorded at their fair market value on the date of receipt. All contributions are considered to be available for unrestricted use unless specifically restricted by the donor. Gifts received that are designated for future periods or restricted by the donor for specific purposes are reported as temporarily restricted or permanently restricted support that increases those net asset categories.

Contributed property is recorded at fair value at the date of donation. If donors stipulate how long the assets must be used or restrict the use of such assets for a specific purpose, the

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

contributions are recorded as restricted support. In the absence of such stipulations, gifts of property are recorded as unrestricted support.

#### Investment Earnings

Interest and dividends from endowment investments are credited to temporarily restricted funds and spent in compliance with donor stipulations and the Foundation's spending policy. Interest and dividends from non-endowment investments are credited to the unrestricted fund for expenditure at the discretion of the Foundation's board of trustees. Realized gains or losses are determined based on the average cost method.

#### Net Assets Released from Restrictions

When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restrictions.

#### Federal Income Taxes

The Foundation has been approved under the Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) as a nonprofit organization exempt from federal taxes on its normal activities.

GAAP prescribes recognition thresholds and measurement attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. Management has concluded that they are unaware of any tax benefits or liabilities to be recognized at June 30, 2013 or 2012, respectively.

The Foundation is no longer subject to examination by taxing authorities for years before 2010. The Foundation does not have any tax benefits recorded at June 30, 2013, and does not expect that position to significantly change in the next year. The Foundation would recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense, if applicable, and there were no amounts accrued for interest and penalties at June 30, 2013 or 2012.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the Foundation's principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The carrying value of the Foundation's financial instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents, pledges receivable, investments, accounts payable, annuity agreements and long-term debt, approximate fair value.

### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to data in the accompanying prior year financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net assets or the change in net assets.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

### B. Business and Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Foundation's financial instruments that are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and investments. The Foundation deposits its cash in federally insured banks. These deposits are generally in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's insurance limit.

Investments are managed by a professional investment management company utilizing the "manager of managers" model of portfolio administration. The investment manager is subject to the Foundation's investment policy, approved by the board of trustees, which contains objectives, guidelines and restrictions designed to provide for preservation of capital with an emphasis on providing current income and achieving long-term growth of the funds without undue exposure to risk. Certain funds have been pooled for ease of management and to achieve greater diversification in investments. Due to the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible the changes in risks in the near term would result in material changes in the fair value of long-term investments and net assets of the Foundation.

### C. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the Foundation's principal or most advantageous market on the measurement date.

The fair value hierarchy established by U.S. GAAP requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

In many cases a valuation technique used to measure fair value includes inputs from multiple levels of the fair value hierarchy. The lowest level of significant input determines the placement of the entire fair value measurement in the hierarchy.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below and on the following page for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013 Using							
		<b>Quoted Prices</b>		Significant				
		In Active Markets		Other		Significant		
		for Identical		Observable		Unobservable		
		Assets		Inputs		Inputs		
	-	(Level 1)	_	(Level 2)	_	(Level 3)		Totals
<u>Assets</u>								
Gifts receivable from trusts held by others	\$		\$		\$	1,277,300	\$	1,277,300
Investment in securities:								
Mutual funds:								
Equity		50,824,891						50,824,891
Fixed Income		40,911,330						40,911,330
Alternative assets:								
Hedge funds				6,486,694		4,750,943		11,237,637
Private equity						2,064,725		2,064,725
Distressed debt				2,582,595				2,582,595
Total investment in securities	-	91,736,221		9,069,289		6,815,668		107,621,178
Other investments:								
Limited partnerships						1,485,281		1,485,281
Annuity assets:								
Cash and equivalents				68,371				68,371
Mutual funds-securities		26,811	_	157,455	_			184,266
Total annuity assets	-	26,811	_	225,826	_		_	252,637
Total	\$	91,763,032	\$	9,295,115	\$	9,578,249	\$	110,636,396

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

		Fair	Va	alue Measuremen	ts a	at June 30, 2012	Usin	g
		Quoted Prices		Significant				
		in Active Markets		Other		Significant		
		for Identical		Observable		Unobservable		
		Assets		Inputs		Inputs		
		(Level 1)	-	(Level 2)		(Level 3)		Totals
<u>Assets</u>								
Gifts receivable from trusts held by others	\$		\$		\$	1,414,100	\$	1,414,100
Investment in securities:								
Bonds								
Mutual funds:								
Equity		41,945,823						41,945,823
Fixed Income		40,551,777						40,551,777
Alternative assets:								
Hedge funds				12,033,512				12,033,512
Private equity						1,468,920		1,468,920
Distressed debt				4,545,477				4,545,477
Total investment in securities		82,497,600		16,578,989		1,468,920		100,545,509
Other investments:								
Limited partnerships						1,354,550		1,354,550
Annuity assets:								
Cash and equivalents				3,117				3,117
Mutual funds-securities		46,088		166,071			_	212,159
Total annuity assets	•	46,088	-	169,188			_	215,276
Total	\$	82,543,688	\$	16,748,177	\$	4,237,570	\$_	103,529,435

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

				20	13			
		its Receivable m Trusts Held by Others	_	Hedge Funds	_	Private Equity		Limited Partnerships
Beginning balance, July 1 Interest and dividends Realized gains on sales Unrealized gains included in earnings Net purchases/(sales) Change in value of split interest agreements Net transfers in/(out) of Level 3	\$	1,414,100 (190,600) 53,800	\$	120,943 4,630,000	\$	1,468,920 9,774 156,783 128,248 301,000	\$	1,354,550 4,943 (44,439) 170,227
Ending balance, June 30	\$	1,277,300	\$_	4,750,943	- - -	2,064,725	\$ =	1,485,281
				2012				
	_	its Receivable m Trusts Held by Others	. <u>-</u>	Private Equity	_	Limited Partnerships		
Beginning balance, July 1 Interest and dividends Realized losses on sales	\$	1,545,600	\$	1,160,458 4,776 52,385	\$	1,347,334		
Unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings Net purchases/(sales) Change in value of split interest agreements Net transfers in/(out) of Level 3		(131,500)		2,801 248,500		47,072 (39,856)		
Ending balance, June 30	\$	1,414,100	\$_	1,468,920	- \$	1,354,550		

The fair value of gifts receivable from trusts held by others is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated residual trust value. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future investment earnings. Management determines the fair value based on best information available (Level 3 inputs).

Investments in securities consist primarily of mutual fund shares managed by a professional investment management company utilizing the "manager of managers" model of portfolio administration. The fair value of money markets and bonds are based on quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 inputs).

For hedge funds, private equity and commercial loans, for which there is no active market, information such as historical and current performance of the underlying assets, cash flow projections, liquidity and credit premiums required by a market participant, and financial trend analysis with respect to the individual fund manager, are utilized in determining individual security valuations. Due to current market conditions as well as the limited trading activity of

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

these securities, the market value of the securities is highly sensitive to assumption changes and market value volatility (Level 3 inputs).

Approximately one half of the Foundation's hedge fund allocation is invested in a "fund of funds" vehicle structured as an offshore company that invests all of its capital in private placement funds. The fund's investment objective is to seek to achieve a return somewhere between historical market equity and fixed income returns with a moderate level of risk undertaken. The fund is broadly diversified and invests in multiple hedge fund strategies including convertible bond hedging, credit hedging, distressed debt, equity market neutral, equity long/short, merger arbitrage, short biased and sovereign debt and mortgage hedging. The fund generally invests in 30-40 hedge funds and the fund of funds manager requires full transparency of each of the underlying funds' investment positions. The Foundation is no longer subject to the fund's initial one-year lock-up period and may, therefore, request liquidation at net asset value on a quarterly basis with 65 days prior notification (Level 2 inputs). At June 30, 2013, the Foundation has no significant unfunded commitments to its hedge fund allocation.

The balance of the Foundation's hedge fund allocation is also invested in a "fund of funds" structured as an offshore company. The fund's investment objective is to seek to achieve high returns balanced against an appropriate level of volatility and directional market exposure over a full market cycle. The fund is broadly diversified and invests in various private funds such as hedge funds that pursue hedged or other alternative investment strategies, private equity funds, hybrid funds and any other alternative investment funds, while also opportunistically investing directly in any other securities and financial instruments. The fund generally invests in 15-20 funds and the fund of funds manager requires full transparency of each of the underlying funds' investment positions. The Foundation is subject to the fund's initial two-year lock-up period, which will end in January of 2015. Once the lock-up period has ended, the Foundation may request liquidation on a semi-annual basis with 95 days prior notification. At June 30, 2013, the Foundation has no significant unfunded commitments to this hedge fund allocation.

The private equity fund investment is structured as a domestic partnership in which the Foundation is a limited partner. The fund seeks to invest the capital contributed to it in a diversified pool of long-term investments in non-publically traded companies. Diversification is accomplished by investing 40-60% of committed capital in underlying funds focused on the United States, 20-40% on Europe and 0-30% on emerging markets. Capital commitments of the limited partners are payable to the partnership in installments over a 3 – 5 year period. At June 30, 2013, the Foundation's total capital commitment of \$3,500,000 was 54.6% (\$1,910,908) funded. Due to the long-term commitment of capital and the unpredictability of capital calls and partnership distributions, the fund is generally considered illiquid. It is also not unusual for private equity funds to experience losses in the early years of their existence. The valuation of this investment is based on net asset value using the market approach (Level 3 input).

The Foundation's investment in commercial loans is in the form of a fund that invests in a diversified portfolio of structured credit instruments, the majority of which are Collateralized Debt Obligation (CDO) equity and mezzanine notes. CDOs are structured finance securities that hold a diversified pool of income-generating collateral that is financed through the issuance of debt securities. CDO investors assume the first level of default risk. These notes are lowly correlated to traditional and other alternative investments, have minimal interest rate risk, and are highly transparent. The Foundation's investment in this asset class was fully funded at June 30, 2013. The Foundation is no longer subject to the investment's two year lockup period and may, therefore, request liquidation on a quarterly basis with 69 days prior notice (Level 2 input).

Valuation of limited partnership shares reported as "other investments" are derived from reports issued by the general partners adjusted for capital contributions and withdrawals throughout the fiscal year. Although the fund custodians provide annual audited financial

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

statements for each of the funds, the value of the underlying securities is difficult to ascertain as there is no active market associated with these ownership interests. Thus, the partnership interests are classified as valued based upon Level 3 inputs using the market approach.

Valuation of annuity assets (and related liabilities) is based on a "Default Level Matrix" developed by the custodian. Mutual funds and other instruments are classified based on analysis and review of FASB standards, together with input from securities pricing service companies, broker/dealers and investment managers regarding their pricing methodologies; discussions with clients and independent accounting firms regarding various market inputs used to determine fair value and participation in industry forums. Management believes that this custodian-developed matrix accurately interprets applicable FASB guidance with respect to the level classification defined therein.

### D. <u>Pledges Receivable</u>

Pledges receivable at June 30, 2013 and 2012, by fund type, are as follows:

			2	201	3		
			Temporarily		Permanently		
	Unrestricted		Restricted		Restricted		Totals
Less than one year	\$ 36,195	\$	2,133,598	\$	287,768	\$	2,457,561
One to five years			2,196,305		200,561		2,396,866
Six years or greater			2,047,000				2,047,000
Gross pledges receivable	36,195		6,376,903		488,329		6,901,427
Present value discount	5		(1,024,503)		(3,729)		(1,028,227)
Allowance for uncollectible pledges			(46,900)		(9,000)	_	(55,900)
Pledges receivable (net)	\$ 36,200	\$	5,305,500	\$	475,600	\$_	5,817,300
			2	201	2		
			Temporarily		Permanently		
	Unrestricted		Restricted		Restricted	_	Totals
Less than one year	\$ 51,920	\$	1,603,873	\$	146,899	\$	1,802,692
One to five years			1,523,941		185,238		1,709,179
Six years or greater		_	2,000,000				2,000,000
Gross pledges receivable	51,920		5,127,814		332,137		5,511,871
Present value discount	(20)		(1,057,914)		(3,537)		(1,061,471)
Allowance for uncollectible pledges	(100)		(27,500)		(8,400)	_	(36,000)
Pledges receivable (net)	\$ 51,800	\$	4,042,400	\$	320,200	\$	4,414,400

The fair value of pledges receivable was determined using discount rates applicable to the year in which the pledge was established. Rates ranged from .72% to 4.92%.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

### E. Gifts Receivable From Trusts Held By Others

The Foundation is a party to charitable gift trusts. Third party trustees maintain trust assets in irrevocable trusts for the benefit of the Foundation. The fair values of the trusts are estimated based upon the fair value of the assets contributed by the donor less the present value of the payment expected to be made to other beneficiaries. The present value is calculated using discount rates the year in which the trust was established, in this case, 4.92%. The balances at June 30, 2013 and 2012, are \$1,277,300 and \$1,414,100, respectively, and are included in Temporarily Restricted net assets.

### F. <u>Investment in Securities</u>

The cost and fair value of the Foundation's investments, at June 30, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

	_	2013		2012
Mutual funds:	-	_	•	
Equity	\$	50,824,891	\$	41,945,823
Fixed income		40,911,330		40,551,777
Alternative assets	_	15,884,957		18,047,909
Totals	\$	107,621,178	\$	100,545,509

Net realized gains (losses) on sales of investments were \$1,064,618 and \$628,998 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Calculation of net realized gains on sales of investments is based on original cost. Net unrealized gains (losses) amounted to \$5,663,769 and (\$1,916,362) for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

### G. Capital Assets

Capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2013 is summarized as follows (the Foundation possessed no capital assets during the year ended June 30, 2012):

	_				2013				
		Beginning Balance	Additions		Reductions		Transfers		Ending Balance
Capital assets:								-	
Land	\$		\$ 173,000	\$	\$	B		\$	173,000
Buildings and improvements			588,264						588,264
Machinery and equipment			28,632						28,632
Construction in progress			701,770						701,770
Total capital assets	•		1,491,666						1,491,666
Less accumulated depreciation:									
Buildings and improvements			7,354						7,354
Machinery and equipment			 2,045						2,045
Total accumulated depreciation			9,399	-		_		-	9,399
Capital assets, net	\$		\$ 1,482,267	\$		۶ _		\$	1,482,267

## Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

Due to increasing demand for academic space on its main campus, the University has encouraged non-academic units to secure off-campus space to free up currently utilized facilities. In accord with this request, the Foundation purchased an existing building adjacent to campus on November 1, 2012. The purchase will allow all Advancement operations, with the exception of Alumni Relations, to consolidate in a single location. The building is currently occupied by one non-University tenant and it is anticipated that the University will lease a portion of the building for administrative office space. Shortly after the purchase was consummated, contractors were hired to renovate and refurbish the building prior to occupancy. The anticipated cost of the renovation project, which was on-going at the end of the fiscal year, is \$1,500,000. Occupancy is expected to occur during September, 2013.

### H. Debt Guaranties

During fiscal year 2011, the Foundation entered into agreement with Dayton Regional STEM Schools, Incorporated ("STEM") guarantying payments on a lease (and such other obligations imposed by the lease) related to the purchase and renovation of an existing building that is utilized by the School in fulfillment of its corporate purposes. STEM is one of ten Ohio schools offering students a relevant, real world educational experience that will prepare them for college and opportunities in the work world. Wright State University has acted as STEM's fiscal agent as well as providing space, supplies and personnel in support of its operations. The agreement pledges unrestricted net assets of the Foundation in an amount not to exceed \$3 million and the designation of unrestricted net assets in the amount of one year of maximum debt service (\$600,000) on bonds associated with the project. Since the guarantee may expire without being drawn upon, the total guarantee does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. As of June 30, 2013, no amounts have been recognized as a liability under the financial guaranty in the Foundation's statement of financial position as the likelihood that STEM would be unable to fulfill its obligation in full or in part under the debt agreement is not considered to be probable.

Also during fiscal year 2011, the Foundation entered into an agreement with Wright State Physicians, Incorporated ("WSP") guarantying the debt service payments on \$13.5 million worth of bonds issued to finance construction of a three-story medical office building on Wright State's main campus that will be used to fulfill WSP's corporate purposes. WSP is the faculty practice plan for Wright State's Boonshoft School of Medicine ("BSOM"), which functions to recruit and retain clinicians and scientists in support of the clinical, educational, research and community service activities of BSOM. The agreement pledges the remaining proceeds of a large donation to BSOM made in fiscal year 2005. As of June 30, 2013, the market value of this gift, segregated in a separate portfolio, was \$20,497,558. Since the guarantee may expire without being drawn upon, the total guarantee does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. As of June 30, 2013, no amounts have been recognized as a liability under the financial guaranty in the Foundation's statement of financial position as the likelihood that WSP would be unable to fulfill its obligation in full or in part under the debt agreement is not considered to be probable.

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE CLUSTER	Federal CFDA Number or Primary <u>Grant Number</u>	Pass-through Agency	Pass-through Agency Number	Expe	enditures
U.S. Department of Education Direct Programs -					
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant	84.007			\$	452,415
William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program Federal Work Study Federal Perkins Loan Outstanding Balance Federal Pell Grant  Total U.S. Department of Education Direct Programs	84.268 84.033 84.038 84.063				115,085,894 940,830 11,512,278 26,067,115
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Direct Programs -					
Health Professions Student Loans Outstanding Balance Loans to Disadvantaged Students Outstanding Balance Nursing Student Loans Outstanding Balance ARRA - Nurse Faculty Loan Program Outstanding Balance Nurse Faculty Loan Program Outstanding Balance Primary Care Loans Outstanding Balance	93.342 93.342 93.364 93.264 93.264 93.342				13,067 326,562 1,168,523 30,463 203,508 2,146,461
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Direct Programs					3,888,584
TOTAL STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE CLUSTER					157,947,116
See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.				(	(Continued)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

	Federal CFDA Number		<b>.</b>	
Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	or Primary Grant Number	Pass-through <u>Agency</u>	Pass-through Agency Number	Expenditures
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER				
U.S. Department of Education, Prime -				
Deaf Off Drugs and Alcohol e-Therapy Using Community Networks	84.133			\$ 47,634
U.S. Department of Education, Subcontract -				
ARRA - Ohio Education Resource Center	84.395	Ohio State University	PO RF01289531	237,537
ARRA - Evaluation of Implementation Fidelity and Impact in ONET Schools ARRA - Ohio K12 Student Growth Measures for Untested Grades and Subjects	84.395 84.395	Ohio State University Ohio State University	PO RF01328577 PO RF01304298	7,965 95,996
Total U.S. Department of Education, Subcontract				341,498
Total U.S. Department of Education				389,132
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Prime -				
A Study of Social Web Data on Buprenorphine Abuse Using Semantic Web Technology ACE Balance in the Cardiovascular Complication of Diabetes Adiposity, Disease Risk Factors, and Lifetime Health AMP Activated Protein Kinase and Oxygen Sensing Analysis of the Human c-myc Gene Replication Origin ARRA - Comparing Acute and Continuous Drug Abuse Treatment: A Random. Clin. Trial Balance of Angiotensin II Angiotensin 1-1: A Target in Ischemic Stroke Corticosterone and Hippocampal Learning During Development Function and Regulation of TRPM7 Mg2+ Inhibited Cation Channels Gene Regulatory Functions for the Nuclear Speckle Scaffolding Protein Son Genetic Architecture of the Human Dentognathic Complex Genetic Somatic and Maturational Influences on Pediatric Skeletal Health HIF1 Alpha Regulation of Trophoblast Differentiation In Vivo Imaging the Primed Vesicle Pool: A Novel Tool to Study Vesicle Priming Isoform-Specific Regulation and Localization of the Coxsackie and Adenovirus Receptor Longitudinal Modeling of Craniofacial Growth Trajectories Mechanisms of PLD Interaction with Kinases and Rac Role on Phagocyte Chemotaxis Mechanisms of Reciprocal Inhibition Development Molecular Evolution of AAV Vectors for Anti-HIV Gene Therapy Molecular Imaging of Renal Angiotensin 1 through 7 Forming Enzymes Pathways of Bio-Behavioral Development in the Fetal Basis of Adult Disease PLD2 as a GEF or as a Lipase is Central to Leukocyte Chemotaxis PREP Scholars  Protection Against Sarin Induced Neurotoxicity via an In Vivo Caspase Inhibitor Quantitative Measurements of Intestinal Metabolites in Healthy and IBS children Role of DeltaNp63alpha in Vitamin D Mediated Cell Survival in Skin Cancer Scabies: Biology Culture Host Specificity and Antigens  Second-site Genetic Modifiers of CTG/CAG Microsatellite Stability Semantics and Services Enabled Problem Solving Environment for Trypanosoma Cruzi Stress-Induced Sickness During Social Separation: Implications for Depression Synaptic Function: Effects of the Nerve, Injury, Repair and Altered Activit	93.279 93.837 93.865 93.838 93.859 93.701 93.837 93.242 93.855 93.855 93.855 93.855 93.855 93.121 93.865 93.121 93.853 93.855 93.121 93.853 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.857 93.859 93.853 93.859 93.853 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.393 93.855 93.837 93.279			210,609 149,785 1,384,732 262,617 204,036 2,082 419,694 417 66,661 117,604 549,594 325,452 343,789 4,351 111,132 89,433 31,580 378,868 229,500 50,565 86,304 96,772 311,584 200,079 63,017 276,928 327,601 162,580 203,916 839 505,700 22,511 171,511
Acid Sensing and Panic ARRA - Best Practices for Study Recruiting in Primary Care Settings Attention Allocation for Voluntary Smooth Eye Movements Attention Allocation for Voluntary Smooth Eye Movements Attention Allocation for Voluntary Smooth Eye Movements Characterizing Placebo Response to Active Treatment Using Very High Dimens. Data Colorado Center for Childhood Liver Disease Research Education CT-Based Diagnosis of Diffuse Coronary Artery Disease DCOP Fiscal Agency Federal Developing Physics-Based Virtual Simulation Technology for NOTES Direct Svc Workforce Proj: Identifying Competencies for OH L-T Care Workers Direct Svc Workforce Proj: Identifying Competencies for OH L-T Care Workforce E-Consent Evaluation of the State Partnership Grant for the Ohio Commission on Minority Health Evaluation of the State Partnership Grant for the Ohio Commission on Minority Health Genetics of Bone Structure and Metabolism Genetics of Infant Growth and Later Obesity Muscle and Neuromuscular Junctions in Spinal Muscular Atrophy Non-contact THz Sensing of Corneal Hydration Purified Snake Venom Study The Genomic Psychiatry Cohort  Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Subcontract  Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  U.S. Department of Defense, Prime -  1550-nm Extrinsic-GaAs Photomixers Arrays and Spectrometers 9th International Conference on Diffusion in Solids and Liquids	93.242 93.701 93.867 93.867 93.242 93.847 93.395 93.286 93.791 93.061 93.006 93.846 93.865 93.865 93.865 93.867 93.242	University of Cincinnati Wayne State University Smith-Kettlewell Eye Research Institute Smith-Kettlewell Eye Research Institute New York Univ Schl of Medicine University of Colorado Indiana University Dayton Clinical Oncology Program (DCOP) Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Ohio State University Ohio State University Wright State Applied Research Corporation Ohio Commission on Minority Health Texas Biomedical Research Institute University of Minnesota Johns Hopkins University University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Central State University University of Southern California	L12-4500063686/007920  PO 020718 PO 22726 PO M130033213  PO 643675 5U10CA035090-30 A12296 RF01300370/60037336 RF01306619/60037336 11-WSARC-001FE SPC 12-01 SPC 13-01 11-4195.003 P663659002 2000694143 0125 G PA 247 P0081340 H39730	113,359 4,630 47,389 37,841 20,824 34,803 17,276 901,487 72,074 17,051 67,231 18,250 4,790 9,991 66,436 2,904 47,226 4,672 43,084 18,747 1,550,065 9,444,170
9th International Conference on Diffusion in Solids and Liquids A Joint WSU/AFRL Center for Advanced Power and Energy Conversion Rsrch (CAPEC)	12.800 12.800			10,000 33,310

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

	Federal CFDA Number or Primary	Pass-through	Pass-through	
Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	Grant Number	Agency	Agency Number	Expenditures
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)				
U.S. Department of Defense, Prime (Continued) -				
A Methodology for the Deterministic Detection of Program Flow Hijacking (PFH) A Molecular Modeling Approach to Predict Elastic and Failure Behavior of Thermo Poly Academic Pipeline and Future Lab Active Transmit Attenuation and Cancellation (ATAC) Algebraic Methods to Design Signals Center for Micro Air Vehicle Studies (CMAVS) Computational and Experimental Equipment for THz Biomolecular Signatures Dynamic General. of Systems Factorial Tech. for Modeling Perception of Fused Info Homeland Emergency Learning and Preparedness Center Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Vehicles Intergovernmental Personnel Agreement (IPA) - M Wolff Multimodel Human Signatures for State Assessment and Threat Evaluation Multisensory Processing Research Neuroscience and Medical Imaging New Media Innovations Trial Adoption and Usage by Individuals Physics-Based Morphology Analysis and Adjoint Optimization of Flexible Flapping Wings Research and Analytical Support for the 711th IPW Human Effectiveness Directorate Revolutionary Intelligence and Influence Technologies (RIIT) Semi-Supervised Discriminative Structured Prediction Simulating Nonequilibrium Radiation via Orthogonal Polynomial Refinement  Total U.S. Department of Defense, Prime	12.300 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.431 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800			\$ 142,941 2,705 2,743,349 72,124 50,874 49,141 167,660 28,633 31,200 1,216,171 20,740 38,509 149,209 1,352,101 11,691 100,947 116,050 11,598 185,502 77,043
U.S. Department of Defense, Subcontract -				
8th International Conference on Diffusion in Solids and Liquids A Path-Finding Study for Radiative Heat Exchange in Combustion Chamber Advanced Instrumentation and Non-Destructive Evaluation for Composite Structures Advanced Power Sources for Future Soldiers Algorithms for Dismount Detection in Synthetic Aperture Radar AMJAMS Enhancement Professional Services Support Analyst Test Bed (ATB) Application of Range Doppler Processing Bio Polymer Project Biobehavioral Performance and Biosignatures Research and Development (BPBRD) Bioremediation of Chlorinated Ethenes in the Constructed Wetlands at WPAFB Broadband Multi-Mode THz Imag, for Detect. of Corros. and Defects under Hull Coatings Casting Optimization CFD Analysis of Advanced Rotary Diesel Engine Fuel Injection System for UGV'S Characterization of MESFET Channel Properties and Junction Temperature Measurmts. Cognitive Measures and Modeling for Persistent Surveillance Collaborative Center for Surveillance Research Collaborative Center in Multidisciplinary Sciences (CCMS) Computational Analysis of Wankel Rotary Engine Using Direct Injection Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) for Heavy Fuel Conversion of UAS Cyber Attack and Mission Impact Research - Suspicion in Information Technology Design and Fabrication of a Thrust Vectoring System for Micro-Jet Engines Design and Fabrication of an Exhaust Driven Fan for Micro-Jet Engines Development of Virtual Humans for Cultural Competence Training Dynamitron Use and Maintenance in Support of Collaborative Material Science Research Flextomag NDE Techniques for the Detection and Charac. of Damage and Degrad. TPS Flow Modulation and Force Control of Flapping Wings GPS Enbanged Dynamics Scentrum Access	12.431 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.800 12.300 12.300 12.300 12.300	European Office of Aerospace Research and Development Universal Technology Corporation Princeton Nanotechnology Systems (PNTS) Indiana University Matrix Research & Engineering Illumination Works LLC Wright State Applied Research Corporation Matrix Research & Engineering Universal Energy Systems Inc. (UES Inc.) InfoSciTex Corp Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) Princeton Nanotechnology Systems (PNTS) Caterpillar Inc. L.K. Industries, Inc. Microsemi Corporation Radiance Technologies Ohio State University Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University L.K. Industries, Inc. Universal Technology Corporation Systems Research and Applications International Universal Technology Corporation Universal Technology Corporation Doty Consulting Services Applied Optimization, Inc. Leonard Wood Institute Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) Universal Technology Corporation Purdue University	FA8655-12-1-2089 SUB 12-S590-004-07-C1 PO PNTS-110603-1 IN-4395903-WSU/PO 832618 2012-0015 GS35F0623U BOA 1035-015 TO 0001 PO AF1126-11-001 S-875-090-004 5001-S004 FA8601-11-P-0468 PO PNTS-120709-1 PO 7  12S-1621 PO RF01210524 PROJ 60015694 SUB 430447-19553 PO 6 AND 8 12-S590-0012-02-C4 11-S590-0020-34-C5 12-S590-0012-02-C4 PO 2013-0214 400-139 10-AFIT-03 10-S7105-01-C1 SUB 4104-43645 PO 12-002	(32) 46,628 1,069 1,430 113,037 (19,579) 6,048 8,567 33,872 45,774 84,289 23,638 56 (2,736) 1 27,083 (60) 86,290 (5,673) 10,000 9,339 (2,522) 14,566 27,633 3,000 (2,439) 15,350 56,441 12,525
GPS Enhanced Dynamic Spectrum Access High Efficiency SIGINT Collection High-Resolution Sensing of DNA Nanostructures in the THz Region Identification of Peptide Ligands Using Phage Peptide Library III-N Devices and Architectures for THz Electronics Improvements to Air Vehicle Optimization and Analysis Sensitivity Models InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0001 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0002 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0002 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0005 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0005 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0001 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0001 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0001 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0013 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0013 InfoSciTex - ATEA Task Order 0014 Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Vehicles ISAR for Terrestrial Targets Laparoscopic Surgery Training System (LASTS II) Logistics Coordination and Flight Test Support for AFRL-RYRA at Talisman Sabre 2011 Metabolite Differentiation and Discovery Lab Development Metabolite Differentiation and Discovery Lab Development Metabolite Differentiation and Discovery Lab Development Microscale Power Conversion (MPC) Mobile-Agent-Based Autonomous Data Fusion for Distributed Sensors Multi-Agent Health Management System Multisensory Processing Research FY12 New Heart Failure Treatment Capability for Remote Environments NMR Based Metabolomics in Aerospace Physiology and Toxicology Research Observe Pattern of Life and Predict Individual Behavior Operations Support Center (OSC) of Tec^Edge PALODE - Hand Launched Fire-and-Forget Surveillance for Use by Ground Force Troops	12.800 12.300 12.431 12.800 12.300 12.800	Echo Ridge LLC EDAptive Computing, Inc. RBS Technologies, LLC University of California University of Notre Dame Universal Energy Systems Inc. (UES Inc.) University of Notre Dame Universal Technology Corporation InfoSciTex Corp InfoSciTex	PO 12-002 PO 010413-WSU-01 PO WSU-2012-1510-1 2010-2510 S-875-130-004 201836 12-S2603-04-C14 PO 20421 TO01 SUB 4000-S005 SUB 4000-S005 SUB 4000-S005 PO 20451 FA8650-09-D-3900 PO 0108-00093 PO 1018-00093 PO IST-10079 SUB 4000S005 IST-10072; S 4000-S005 1430-S004 SC1101701 624-0071 PROJ 201104098 PO 4504342836 SUB S1281B SUB 802-2 PO S80006C-11 W81XWH-08-1-0484 759290 SUB S110000134 WBSC 9023	45,000 20,000 11,563 169,339 95,561 132,194 42,963 760 (1,417) 80,690 102,568 9,013 30,511 367,356 354,724 14,704 48,099 65,403 6,473 16,917 24,335 79,293 821 800 54,592 63,043 191,276 203,628 (15) 1,060

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

	Federal CFDA Number or Primary	Pass-through	Pass-through	
Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	Grant Number	Agency	Agency Number	Expenditures
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)				
U.S. Department of Defense, Subcontract (Continued) -				
Passive Multistatic Radar Modeling Pilot and Workload State Monitoring Pilot and Workload State Monitoring Portable Aerial Layered Ordinance (PALODE-11b) Quick Reaction Assessments-Studies-Analysis-Evaluation and Research (QUASAR) Remediation of HAHs and Other Groundwater Pollutants Research of Multi-Func. Software Defined Archit. Based Cognitive Elec. Warfare Tech. Separability and Stability Analysis of Laser Vibrometry Signals Sim. of Small-Scale Damage Evol. During Processing of Polymer Matrix Materials Sys. Single Ion Conducting Solid-State Lithium Electrochemical Technologies Sirius Program Spectrally Compliant Waveforms Over Non-Contiguous Spectrum Fragmentation Structural Dynamics and Mechanics of Gas Turbine Engine Components Support for Evaluating the Human Effectiveness of GOST Support for Evaluating the Human Effectiveness of GOST T040 LVC Sensors Integration for Data Fusion in Operations and Training Terahertz Signature Modeling for Kill Assessment and Warhead Materials Identification Testing and Test Assets Support Thin Film Semiconductor Characterization and Fabrication THz Frequency Materials Testing at Cryogenic Temperatures and in High Magnetic Fields Trusted Semantic Sensor Web to Support Decision Making Turbine Engine RFC Component Implementation	12.800 12.800	Matrix Research & Engineering Ball Aerospace Ohio Aerospace Institute Wyle Laboratories Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) MacAulay-Brown, Inc. Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) Universal Energy Systems Inc. (UES Inc.) Universal of Dayton Applied Research Associates, Inc. Kalos Technologies, Inc. Universal Technologies Radiance Technologies Radiance Technologies Radiance Technologies Ball Aerospace Ohio State University MacAulay-Brown, Inc. University of Dayton Lake Shore Cryotronics Inc Riverside Research Universal Technology Corporation	2012-0016 \$B0006C-12 \$UB 1-162013 PO T723370001 FA8601-12-P-0394 DSC6055-02 P010125637 TASK P010125637-1 \$UB S-949-000-001 RSC10047 \$-001316.01, P012-00010 PO 1003 13-S590-0012-02-C5 \$UB 11S-2947 \$UB 12S-2057 PO \$80006C-09 PROJ 60032165 DSC1031 \$UB 12032 ACCT KE1332-7S03 DRC. C00557.011596.02 12-S590-0016-11-C3	\$ 40,367 12,000 6,052 27,481 101,086 6,048 34,310 26,962 49,950 98,901 23,999 10,710 9,128 18,575 67,343 58,883 43,189 23,360 40,752 50,090
Ultra-Wideband Radio Frequency Vector Signal Gen. with Channel Emulation Capability University Challenge - AFRL Center for Rapid Product Development Support Visual Analytics for General Recognition Theory (GRT) and Computer Forensics Visual Identification of Human Biosign. via Pattern Recog-Based Decision Making Vortex Detection and Visualization for Design of Micro Air Vehicles and Turbomachinery Warfighter Interface Readiness Technology Operations (WIRTO) Support Wide-Area Motion Imagery and Radio Frequency Compressive Sensing Applications	12.800 12.800 12.910 12.800 12.800 12.800	Kalos Technologies, Inc. ARINC Engineering Services, LLC High Performance Technologies, Inc. InfoSciTex Corp High Performance Technologies, Inc. Ball Aerospace Scientific Systems Company, Inc.	PO 1001 258913 14463-PETTT-WRIGHT PO281 TO2 5002-S002 PP-ACE-KY02-013-P3 KDR11-10085-WIRTO/TO40 1567-WSU	7,992 (547) 37,789 71,217 (256) (36,659) 30,000
Total U.S. Department of Defense, Subcontract				3,781,730
Total U.S. Department of Defense				10,479,848
U.S. Department of Energy, Prime -  Experimental and Theoretical Pursuit of the Ultimate Conductivity in ZnO  Multiscale Reactive Transport in Processes Related to CO2 Sequestration	81.049 81.049			127,061 81,659
Total U.S. Department of Energy, Prime				208,720
U.S. Department of Energy, Subcontract -				
Critical Path Analysis and Cluster Statistics of Percolation Theory Calculation Design of a Hydrotherm. Atomic Force Microscope and Investig. Mineral Growth & Dissol. Development and Application of a Hydrothermal Atomic Force Microscope Pump Probe Characterization of Wide-Bandgap Photoconductors Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Job Impact Study	81.049 81.049 81.049 81.049 81.041	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge National Laboratory Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ICF Incorporated LLC	154808 4000106609 4000114518 B603232 PO 12VV0234	54,046 535 53,297 37,726 95,519
Total U.S. Department of Energy, Subcontract				241,123
Total U.S. Department of Energy				449,843
U.S. Department of Interior, Prime -				
Antlers on the Tundra: Mapping Historical Caribou Calving Grds. and Nutritional Value	15.608			6,101
U.S. Department of Interior, Subcontract -				
Range-Wide Genetic Diversity of Scirpus Ancistrochaetus	15.615	Kendra Cipollini		1,324
Total U.S. Department of Interior				7,425
U.S. Department of Transportation, Prime -				
Exploring Pilot Performance Using Flight Mgt. Systems With and Without Autoload Flight Deck Display and Control Requirements Human Factors Study	20.108 20.108			37,109 268,657
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				305,766
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Subcontract -				
Behavior of Carbon Nanomaterials in Aqueous Suspensions of Natural Organic Matter Influence of Water Quality on the Bioavailability and Food Chain Trans. of C Nanopart.	66.509 66.509	Clemson University Clemson University	SUB 1288-7558-218-2007103 SUB 1290-7558-218-2007150	11,016 26,368
Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				37,384
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Prime -				
Coupled Models of Planetary Ionospheres/Thermospheres: Phase B Modeling of the Martian Thermosphere Ionosphere in Support of Volatile Evol. Calc. Monte Carlo Calc. of the Photochem. Escape Fluxes of O, C and N from Mars	43.001 43.001 43.001			94,623 37,442 21,374

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number or Primary Grant Number	Pass-through Agency	Pass-through Agency Number	Expenditures
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)	Grant Number	Agency	Agency Number	Expenditures
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Prime (Continued) -				
Production Rates of Thermal-Energetic and Excited Atoms in the Martian Thermosphere The Hydrocarbon Ion Layer in the Low Altitude Ionosphere of Saturn	43.001 43.001			\$ 45,927 39,142
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Prime				238,508
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Subcontract -				
MAVEN Mission Nano-Graphene Platelets - a New Class of Anode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries Next-Generation Ion Thruster Design Tool to Support Future Space Missions Transition of Small Unmanned Aerial Veh. Rsrch Testbed to Undergrad. Educ. Purposes	43.001 43.001 43.001 43.001	University of Colorado Ohio Space Grant Consortium Tech-X Corporation Ohio Space Grant Consortium	PO 1000013110 REF 1546525 PROJ 7204-002	92,149 4,840 120,008 252
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Subcontract				217,249
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration				455,757
National Science Foundation, Prime -				
A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education Algebraic Methods in the Study of Some Problems in Communication Engineering ARRA - Collaborative Research: GEOTRACES: Atlantic Section: Mercury Speciation ARRA - Collaborative Research: Revolutionary New Capabilities for EPR and Toroid NMR Attention Allocation for Voluntary Smooth Eye Movements CAREER: An Integrated Study of Biological Fluid Dynamics in Nature Collaborative Center for Surveillance Research Collaborative Center for Surveillance Research Collaborative Research: Caterpillars and Parasitoids in the Eastern Andes of Ecuador Collaborative Research: Consumer Control of High-ProductivityLow-Nutrient Ecosys. Collaborative Research: Cores: Synergy: Method. for Egr. with Plug-and-Learn Compnts. Collaborative Research: Factors that Affect the Likelihood of Prejudice Confrontation Collaborative Research: GEOTRACES: Atlantic Section: Mercury Speciation Collaborative Research: GOALI Marehouse Integr. Enterprise-Wide Supply Chain Plng. Collaborative Research: GOALI Warehouse Integr. Enterprise-Wide Supply Chain Plng. Collaborative Research: GOALI Warehouse Integr. Enterprise-Wide Supply Chain Plng. Collaborative Research: SoCS Social Media Enhanced Org. Sensemaking in Emerg. Responsional Modeling of Nanoelectronic-Based Gas Sensors CRPA: Into the Rift: A Multimedia Experience for Advancing Ecosystem-Based Sci. Ed. EAGER: Identification of Chemosensitive Regions in Brainstems of Herps EAGER: Expressive Scalable Querying Over Linked Open Data Fully Nonlinear Equations in Complex Geometry Genetic Drift Versus Genetic Draft in Holarctic Duck High-Performance Computing to Evaluate Hierarchical Heterogeneity Paradigms Ill: Small: TRON - Tractable Reasoning Ontologies In the Footsteps of Katharine Wright: Promoting STEM Women through LEADER Knowledge Transfer Oriented Data Mining with Focus on the Decision Trees Know. Type Macro-scale Friction in the Framework of the Frenkel-Kontorova Model Mechanisms of Social Buffering of Hypothalamic-Priluitary-Adrenal Respon	47.074 47.041 47.074 47.074 47.075 47.050 47.050 47.050 47.041 47.041 47.041 47.041 47.076 47.076 47.074 47.070 47.074 47.074 47.070 47.074 47.070 47.075 47.070 47.070 47.070 47.070 47.070			229,508 97,294 11,107 8,838 180,433 46,967 13,104 22,948 53,362 60,340 9,775 2,059 25,205 92,992 54,594 4,675 145,265 35,241 33,728 45,643 52,129 42,289 (211) 36,866 167,877 593,630 11,060 42,761 84,188 94,345 60,630 98,156 1,379 105,654 7,184 9,225 14,850
National Science Foundation, Subcontract -  Anomaly Detection in Sensor Networks for Quality Assured Situational Awareness Electrical Activity of the ZnO Surface Modeling Ultrasound Exfoliation of Graphene Nanoplatelets	47.076 47.049 47.041	Central State University Ohio State University Angstron Materials LLC	PO P0082265 SUB 8460-001 PO RF01123734 PROJ 60014920	4,323 69,588 90,029
Supporting Scientific Practices in Elementary and Middle School Classrooms	47.076	Northwestern University	SP0009801 PROJ0002732	91,257
Total National Science Foundation, Subcontract				255,197
Total National Science Foundation				2,850,287
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Prime -				
Research Synthesis and Coordination for the Forest Ungulate Research Network	10.001			111
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Subcontract -				
Characterization of the Mechanistic and Molecular Basis of Ash Resistance to EAB Characterization of the Mechanistic and Molecular Basis of Ash Resistance to EAB Smarter Lunchroom Initiative Smarter Lunchroom Initiative	10.025 10.025 10.574 10.574	Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education	RF01184593 PROJ 6001627 RF01272536 PROJ 60032792 EDU01-0000010613 EDU01-0000010614	12,788 34,193 6,000 7,380
Total U. S. Department of Agriculture, Subcontract				60,361
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture				60,472
See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.				(Continued)

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

CFDA Number			
or Primary Grant Number	Pass-through <u>Agency</u>	Pass-through Agency Number	Expenditures
64.103 64.103			\$ 89,398 7,000
			96,398
11.473	Florida State University		65,255
ervices, Prime -			
45.160			50,400
			24,692,137
84.378 84.384 84.047 84.048 84.367 84.366 84.366 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.126 84.126 84.126 84.126 84.350 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367 84.367	Ohio Board of Regents Ohio Board of Regents U.S. Department of Education Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education Ohio Board of Regents Ohio Development Services Agency Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education Ohio Board of Regents - Title II Ohio Rehabilitation Services Commission Ohio Rehabilitation Services Commission Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio Development Services Agency Ohio Board of Regents - Title II Ohio Board of Regents - Title II Greene County Educational Service Center U.S. Department of Education U.S. Department of Education U.S. Department of Education	PO47A120886 PO EDU01-000009192 PO EDU01-000009031 10-48 ECDD 12-125 PO 10449 PO EDU01-0000007901 10-47 11-46 12-50 12-47 11-45 12-49 PO RSC01-0000006948 RSC01-0000005460 RF01268256 PROJ 60031733 RF01097285 PROJ 60012518 RF01299721/RF01299708  OSB-158 11-44 12-52 H325T080039-12 P116M100027-11 P047A070520-11 P335A100107-12	63,000 25,000 103,412 72,000 24,074 65 3,863 122,653 18,107 5,310 72,189 660 18,884 55,766 24,370 26,525 (39,381) 20,668 31,962 54,600 3,632 8,258 46,255 20,722 5,565 144,931 74,492 103,751 79,150
			1,190,483
93.358 93.859 93.243 93.243 93.243 93.243 93.243 93.837 93.243 93.243			271,604 138,907 253,142 260,139 270,872 339,758 211,106 140,377 298,407 324,049
			2,000,001
93.507 93.507 93.718 93.630 93.630 93.958 93.958 93.558 93.551 93.242 93.130 93.130 93.1778 93.778 93.778 93.778 93.778	Association of Ohio Health Commissioners Association of Ohio Health Commissioners Greater Dayton Area Health Information Network (GDAHIN) Ohio Developmental Disabilities Council Ohio Depelopmental Disabilities Council Ohio Department of Mental Health Ohio Department of Mental Health Ohio Department of Mental Health Ohio Department of Job and Family Services Public Health Dayton and Montgomery County Ohio State University Montgomery County Children Services Montgomery County Department of Job and Family Services Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio State University Ohio State University	12HE01HE12 12HE01HE13 BG-12-426-14-001 BG-13-328-14-001 PO JFS01-0000013185 13-170 RF01313637 CE 200138 RES. NO. 12-0272 CE 300075 RES. NO. 12-1957 RF01302097 PROJ 60036810 RF01300313 DOH01-0000025315	6,534 32,671 23,142 45,982 28,014 2,662 85,500 152,299 244 1,334 68,977 57,374 172,867 214,500 84,180 3,603
	or Primary Grant Number  64.103 64.103 64.103  11.473  arvices, Prime - 45.160  84.378 84.384 84.047 84.048 84.367 84.367 84.366 84.366 84.367 84.37 84.387 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.396 84.335  93.343 93.243 93.358 93.658 93.551 93.718 93.778 93.778 93.778	CFDA Number   Pass-through   Agency	Pass-through

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

	Federal CFDA Number or Primary	Pass-through	Pass-through	
Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	Grant Number	Agency	Agency Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Subcontract (Continued) -				
Technology Assisted Services for Persons with Disabilities Technology Assisted Services for Persons with Disabilities Telemedicine Project The Paths to Recovery Project	93.243 93.243 93.778 93.243	Ohio Department of Alcohol & Drug Addiction Services Ohio Department of Alcohol & Drug Addiction Services Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities Greater Cincinnati Behavioral Health Services	5H79TI023376-02 ADA01-0000002196 DMR01-0000012807/13899/15317	\$ 6,518 286,698 108,524 288
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Subcontract				1,421,911
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				3,930,272
U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Subcontract -				
FY2009 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)	97.067 97.067	Ohio Emergency Management Agency Ohio Emergency Management Agency	DFSFE146 30925	23,238 1,390
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				24,628
U.S. Department of Defense, Prime -				
Gulf War Illness Research Development Consortium University Engineering Design Challenge	12.420 12.800			45,223 8,205
Total U.S. Department of Defense, Prime				53,428
U.S. Department of Defense, Subcontract -				
Civilian Aeromedical Evacuation Sustainment Training (CAEST) Science, Mathematics and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Scholarship Wright State Emergency Med Training WSU Mentor Protégé Program	12.420 12.800 12.800 12.800	University of Memphis (The) American Society for Engineering Education Battelle Memorial Institute Whitney Bradley & Brown Inc.	P0067607 (5-39852) US001-0000382138 SUB SC-12-005	84,623 37,951 93,827 19,288
Total U.S. Department of Defense, Subcontract				235,689
Total U.S. Department of Defense				289,117
U.S. Department of Labor, Subcontract -				
Dayton Defense Wright Center for Workforce Preparedness: WCWP H-1B Technical Skills Training Grant	17.261 17.268	Dayton Defense Ohio Board of Regents	PO 2012-002 A-1213-15-0425	3,972 8,281
Total U.S. Department of Labor				12,253
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Prime -				
Aerospace Medicine Training in the Era of Expanding Human Space Flights	43.009			528,007
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Subcontract -				
Lake Campus Engineering and High School roBOTicS Collaborative Project Manufac. Egr. Prog. Develop. and Potential Impact on Transform. Undergrad. Egr. Curric Ohio Space Grant Consortium Campus Allocation Funds Ohio Space Grant Consortium Scholarship and Fellowship Program Ohio Space Grant Consortium Travel Allocation Funds The Wright Girls Ready to Explore Engineering Now Project (The Wright GREEN Project)	43.001 43.001 43.001	Ohio Space Grant Consortium		1,908 8,191 1,548 14,401 1,672 80
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Subcontract				27,800
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration				555,807
National Endowment for the Humanities: Institute of Museum and Library Ser	rvices, Prime -			
The Scholar's Dashboard: Creating a Multidisciplinary Tool	45.169			40,206
National Endowment for the Humanities: Institute of Museum and Library Ser	rvices, Subcontra	act -		
Libraries Connect Ohio Resource Sharing Project FY13 Libraries Connect Ohio Resource Sharing Project FY13	45.310 45.310	State Library of Ohio State Library of Ohio	PROJ II-2-12 PROJ II-3-12	1,400,000 1,071,481
Total National Endowment for the Humanities: Institute of Museum and Library S	Services, Subcontr	ract -		2,471,481
Total National Endowment for the Humanities: Institute of Museum and Library Services				2,511,687
National Science Foundation, Prime -				
Collaborative Research: RDE-RAD: Ohio's STEM Ability Alliance (OSAA) Developing Scientific Reasoning Abilities in Pre-Service Teachers Enhancing Integrated Technology and Interdisciplinary Based Engineering Education (GERT: An Interdisciplinary Initiative on Technology Based Learning with Disability K-12 Partners: Helping Rural Disadvantaged Students Stay on Path. to Geosci. Career NUE - WSU Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Laboratory Experience STEP: Gateway into First-year STEM Curricula	47.076 47.076 47.076 47.076 47.050 47.041 47.076			278,654 9,419 3,751 71,894 75,249 101,667 352,960
Total National Science Foundation				893,594

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

Federal Grant/Pass Through Grant/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number or Primary Grant Number	Pass-through <u>Agency</u>	Pass-through <u>Agency Number</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
Small Business Administration, Subcontract -				
2012 Dayton ITAC at WSU 2013 Dayton ITAC at WSU SBDC Portability & Water Technology Innovation Cluster Program Small Business Development Center Carryover Funding Small Business Development Center FY12-SBA Small Business Development Center FY13-SBA Veteran Entrepreneurial Training Program (VET) WSU ITAC: Jobs Act Program 2012	59.037 59.037 59.037 59.037 59.037 59.037 59.044 59.044	Ohio Development Services Agency	ECDD 12-178/ECDD 12-170 OSBO-140/OSB-147 ECDD 11-331 ECDD 12-253 ECDD 12-075A ECDD 11-272A ECDD 12-189	\$ 15,418 47,391 656 9,378 28,296 93,915 16,270 34,190
Total Small Business Administration				245,514
U.S. Department of Transportation, Subcontract -				
Public and Social Service Transportation Curriculum and Internship Program Public and Social Service Transportation Curriculum and Internship Program	20.215 20.215	Ohio Department of Transportation Ohio Department of Transportation	12787-G 12787-H	400 2,330
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				2,730
U.S. Department of Veterans Administration, Prime -				
Customized Programs DVAMC FY12 Dayton VA Medical Center IPA G. Theodore Talbot Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Janice M. Duke Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Jerome L. Yaklic Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Jill Lindsey Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Josette D'Amato Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Kathryn Tchorz Dayton VA Medical Center IPA Suzanne Franco	64.115 64.115 64.115 64.115 64.115 64.115 64.115			3,015 5,000 5,000 5,000 2,083 5,000 10,000 436
Total U.S. Department of Veterans Administration				35,534
U.S. Department of Justice, Subcontract -				
Advanced Cognitive Treatment Services Community Initiative to Reduce Gun Violence in Greater Dayton Justice for All Women Collaboration Team Mobile Technology Initiative Montgomery County Ohio Justice for All Women	16.529 16.609 16.529 16.738 16.529	Montgomery County Family and Children First Council City of Dayton Office of Management and Budget Montgomery County Family and Children First Council Ohio Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services Montgomery County Family and Children First Council	CE 200237 RES. NO. 12-0814 RES. NO. 10-0438 2012-JG-A02-6978 CE 900479 RES. NO. 10-0024/12-18	20,483 30,000 6,462 5,186 40,096
Total U.S. Department of Justice				102,227
Social Security Administration, Prime -				
ARRA - Authorized Release of Med Info through Integration with Nationwide Health Info SSA Health IT Records	96.021 96.021			416 60,228
Total Social Security Administration				60,644
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Subcontract -				
Evaluation Report for Healthier Ohio School Challenge Team Nutrition Projects Upward Bound Summer Lunch Program 2012	10.574 10.559	Ohio Department of Education Ohio Department of Education	PO EDUD201229500	5,000 2,730
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture				7,730
National Archives and Records Administration, Prime -				
Dayton Daily News Archive Basic Processing Project	89.003			23,619
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Subcontract -				
Community & Police Relations Dialogue	14.401	City of Dayton Office of Management and Budget		42,645
Corporation for National & Community Service, Subcontract -				
Civic Minor in Urban Education: Civic Voices and Public Achievement WSU Student Philanthropy Project 2011/2012	94.005 94.005	American Association of State Colleges and Universities Ohio Campus Compact		5,971 4,000
Total Corporation for National & Community Service				9,971
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS				\$ 192,577,708

## NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

### A. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedule") has been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the format as set forth in Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, issued by the United States Office of Management and Budget. The Schedule reflects the expenditures of Wright State University under programs financed by the U.S. government for the year ended June 30, 2013.

For purposes of the Schedule, expenditures of federal awards include the following:

- Direct federal awards
- Federal Direct Student Loans processed by the University
- Outstanding Balances of federal loan programs administered by the University
- Pass-through funds received from non-Federal organizations made under federally sponsored programs conducted by those organizations.

Awards are classified into major program and non-major program categories in accordance with the provisions of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers or Primary Grant Numbers are presented for those programs for which such numbers are available.

#### B. FEDERAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS

The University is responsible only for the performance of certain administrative duties with respect to the Federal Direct Student Loan program (CFDA Number 84.268).

### C. FEDERAL LOAN PROGRAMS

Total loan expenditures and disbursements of the Department of Health and Human Services student financial assistance loan programs for the fiscal year are identified below:

	CFDA			
	Number	Di	Disbursements	
Federal Perkins Loan Program	84.038	\$	2,246,466	
Nursing Student Loan Program	93.364		412,061	
Nurse Faculty Loan Program	93.264		68,976	

### D. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule, the University provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Subrecipient Name	CFDA No.	Program Title	Expenditures
A Special Wish Foundation, Inc.	94.005	WSU Student Philanthropy Project 2011/2012	1,000
A Special Wish Foundation, Inc.			1,000
Advanced Technical Intelligence Center	12.800	Neuroscience and Medical Imaging	68,500
Advanced Technical Intelligence Center			68,500
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada	47.074	Attention Allocation for Voluntary Smooth Eye Movements	3,700
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada			3,700
Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT)	47.076	In the Footsteps of Katharine Wright: Promoting STEM	47,160
Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT)			47,160
Applied Research Associates, Inc.	12.800	Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Veh.	142,094
Applied Research Associates, Inc.	12.800	Neuroscience and Medical Imaging	27,811
Applied Research Associates, Inc.			169,905
Aptima, Inc.	12.800	Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Veh.	1,390
Aptima, Inc.			1,390
Boonshoft Museum of Discovery	94.005	WSU Student Philanthropy Project 2011/2012	1,000
<b>Boonshoft Museum of Discovery</b>			1,000
Bowling Green State University	45.169	The Scholar's Dashboard: Creating a Multidisciplinary Tool	12,471
<b>Bowling Green State University</b>			12,471
Brigham and Women's Hospital, Inc.	93.837	PLD2 as a GEF or as a Lipase is Central to Leuk. Chemo.	2,642
Brigham and Women's Hospital, Inc.			2,642
Central State University	47.076	In the Footsteps of Katharine Wright: Promoting STEM	76,166
Central State University			76,166
Chantilly High School	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	36,776
Chantilly High School			36,776
Developmental Disabilities Nurses Assoc.	93.630	CCOE Dual Diagnosis MI/DD	4,000
Developmental Disabilities Nurses Associ	iation, Inc.		4,000
Emory University	93.853	Synaptic Function: Effects of the Nerve, Injury, Repair	70,210
Emory University			70,210
Greene County Combined Health District	93.243	Substance Testing and Education Program Using Prevent.	71,505
<b>Greene County Combined Health District</b>			71,505
Habitat Seven, LLC	47.076	CRPA: Into the Rift: A Multimedia EcosysBased Sci. Ed.	19,268
Habitat Seven, LLC			19,268
Infoscitex Corporation	12.800	Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Veh.	4,640
Infoscitex Corporation			4,640
Joann Wright Mawasha	93.006	Evaluation of the State Partnership Grant for the OCMH	4,200
Joann Wright Mawasha			4,200
Kansas State University	47.076	In the Footsteps of Katharine Wright: Promoting STEM	24,791
Kansas State University			24,791
Kettering Health Network	12.800	Neuroscience and Medical Imaging	123,231
Kettering Health Network			123,231

### D. Subrecipients (Continued)

CFDA Subrecipient Name No. Program Title Exp	penditures
Miami University 12.800 Academic Pipeline and Future Lab	21,401
Miami University 84.366 Impact of Lesson Study as a Model of Collab. Prof. Dev.	21,033
Miami University 93.121 Genetic Architecture of the Human Dentognathic Complex	47,472
Miami University	89,906
Mini University Inc. 84.335 Wright State CCAMPIS	79,150
Mini University Inc.	79,150
Mound Laser & Photonics Center Inc. 12.300 III-N Devices and Architectures for THz Electronics	6,993
Mound Laser & Photonics Center Inc.	6,993
Mount Olive Baptist Church 93.243 Fifty Plus Prevention Project F3P	5,000
Mount Olive Baptist Church	5,000
New Jersey Sea Grant Consortium 47.050 K-12 Partners: Helping Rural Disadv. Students Geosci.	18,683
New Jersey Sea Grant Consortium	18,683
New Mexico State University 12.800 Physics-Based Morphology Analysis and Adjoint Optim.	51,469
New Mexico State University	51,469
Oklahoma State University 47.076 A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	282
Oklahoma State University	282
Polytechnic Institute of New York University 12.431 Comput. and Experimental Equip. for THz Biomol. Sign.	21,025
Polytechnic Institute of New York University	21,025
Public Health Dayton and Mont. Cty 93.243 Fifty Plus Prevention Project F3P	16,570
Public Health Dayton and Mont. Cty 93.243 Our Women's Health Promotion Project	16,913
Public Health Dayton and Mont. Cty 93.243 The Mount Olive One-Stop Center	7,805
Public Health Dayton and Mont. Cty	41,288
Radiance Technologies, Inc. 12.800 Neuroscience and Medical Imaging	68,500
Radiance Technologies, Inc.	68,500
Resident Home Corporation 93.630 CCOE Dual Diagnosis MI/DD	4,175
Resident Home Corporation	4,175
San Antonio College 47.076 A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	12,431
San Antonio College	12,431
Science Applications International Corp. 12.800 Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Vehicles	404,691
Science Applications International Corp. 12.800 Neuroscience and Medical Imaging	153,124
Science Applications International Corp. 12.800 Revolutionary Intelligence and Influence Technologies	3
Science Applications International Corporation	557,818
SelectTech Services Corporation 12.800 Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Veh.	19,540
SelectTech Services Corporation	19,540
Sinclair Community College 47.076 Collaborative Research: RDE-RAD: OSAA	7,326
Sinclair Community College 47.076 STEP: Gateway into First-year STEM Curricula	85,797
Sinclair Community College	93,123
South Florida Veterans Affairs Foundation 12.420 Gulf War Illness Research Development Consortium	2,442
South Florida Veterans Affairs Foundation	2,442
Stanford University 93.837 Semantics and Services Enabled Problem Solving Environ.	71
Stanford University	71

### D. Subrecipients (Continued)

Subrecipient Name	CFDA No.	Program Title	Expenditures
Texas Biomedical Research Institute	93.121	Genetic Architecture of the Human Dentognathic Complex	256,258
Texas Biomedical Research Institute	93.865	Genetic Somatic and Maturational Influences on Ped. Hlth.	68,660
Texas Biomedical Research Institute			324,918
The Florida Institute for Hum. and Machine	12.800	Interactions with Semi-Autonomous Remotely Piloted Veh.	10,241
The Florida Institute for Human and Machin	ne Cognition		10,241
The Institute for Global Environmental Strat.	47.050	K-12 Partners: Helping Rural Disadv. Students Geosci.	5,974
The Institute for Global Environmental Stra	ategies Inc.		5,974
The Ohio State University	93.630	CCOE Dual Diagnosis MI/DD	11,120
The Ohio State University	93.958	CCOE Dual Diagnosis MIMR	2,534
The Ohio State University			13,654
The University of Akron	12.800	A Molecular Modeling Approach to Predicting	2,974
The University of Akron			2,974
The University of Texas at San Antonio	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	10,596
The University of Texas at San Antonio			10,596
University of Arkansas	93.701	ARRA - Comparing Acute and Continuous Drug Abuse	545
University of Arkansas			545
University of Calgary	93.865	HIF1 Alpha Regulation of Trophoblast Differentiation In Vivo	14,033
University of Calgary			14,033
University of California, Berkeley	93.855	Molecular Evol. of AAV Vectors for Anti-HIV Gene Therapy	88,941
University of California, Berkeley			88,941
University of Cincinnati	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	1,187
University of Cincinnati	93.393	Role of DeltaNp63alpha in Vitamin D Med. Cell Survival	43,175
University of Cincinnati			44,362
University of Dayton	12.300	Multimodel Human Signatures for State Assessment	35,749
University of Dayton	47.074	Roles and Reg. of Aqua/Glycerop. in Freeze-Tolrt Amphb.	55,907
University of Dayton	47.076	In the Footsteps of Katharine Wright: Promoting STEM	35,864
University of Dayton			127,520
University of Georgia	93.837	Semantics and Services Enabled Problem Solving Environ.	97,816
University of Georgia			97,816
University of Maryland	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	13,960
University of Maryland			13,960
University of Minnesota	93.865	Adiposity, Disease Risk Factors, and Lifetime Health	44,105
University of Minnesota			44,105
University of Missouri	47.070	RI: Small: Develop. Lg. Scale Distrib. Synt. Semant. & Lex.	47,203
University of Missouri			47,203
University of San Diego	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	3,971
University of San Diego			3,971
University of Southern California	12.800	Academic Pipeline and Future Lab	45,198
University of Southern California			45,198
University of Toledo	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	22,848
University of Toledo			22,848

### D. Subrecipients (Continued)

Subrecipient Name	CFDA No.	Program Title	Expenditures
Wake Forest University Health Sciences	84.116	Translational Biomedical Training for Underrep. Minorities	24,176
Wake Forest University Health Sciences			24,176
Washington State University	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	823
Washington State University			823
Welcome House, Inc.	93.630	CCOE Dual Diagnosis MI/DD	4,257
Welcome House, Inc.			4,257
Western Michigan University	47.076	A National Model for Engineering Mathematics Education	12,766
Western Michigan University			12,766
YWCA of Dayton	94.005	WSU Student Philanthropy Project 2011/2012	2,000
YWCA of Dayton			2,000
Grand Total			2,777,332

\* \* \* \* \*

This page intentionally left blank



# INDPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Trustees of Wright State University Dayton, Ohio

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and discretely presented component unit of Wright State University as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Wright State University's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated the same day as this report.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Wright State University's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Wright State University's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Wright State University's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Wright State University's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crome Horwath LLP

Columbus, Ohio October 11, 2013



### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

To the Board of Trustees of Wright State University Dayton, Ohio

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Wright State University's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Wright State University's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. Wright State University's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Wright State University's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Wright State University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Wright State University's compliance.

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Wright State University complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

(Continued)

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of Wright State University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Wright State University's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Wright State University's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crome Horwath LLP

Columbus, Ohio October 11, 2013

## WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year Ended June 30, 2013

#### Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements								
Type of auditor's report issued:			<u>Unmodified</u>					
Internal control over financial reporting:								
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	XNo					
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesse	s?	Yes	X None Re	ported				
Noncompliance material to financial statemen	ts noted?	Yes	X No					
Federal Awards								
Internal Control over major programs:								
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	XNo					
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesse	s?	Yes	X None Re	ported				
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance	for major program	ıs:	<u>Unmodified</u>					
Any audit findings disclosed that are required reported in accordance with Section .510(a) o OMB Circular A-133?		Yes	XNo					
Identification of major programs:  CFDA Number(s)	Name of Feder	al Program or C	luster					
84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 93.264, 93.342, 93.364 10.001, 10.025, 10.574, 11.473, 12.300, 12.420 12.431, 12.800, 12.910 15.608, 15.615, 20.108 43.001, 45.160, 47.041 47.049, 47.050, 47.070 47.074, 47.075, 47.076 47.082, 64.103, 66.509 81.041, 81.049, 84.133 84.395, 93.006, 93.061 93.121, 93.242, 93.279 93.286, 93.361, 93.393 93.395, 93.701, 93.791 93.837, 93.838, 93.846 93.847, 93.853, 93.855	Student Financ	ial Assistance (	Cluster					
93.859, 93.865, 93.867								
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between	Type A and Type B	programs:	<u>\$1,038,918</u>					
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?		XYes	N					
	(Continued)							

## WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year Ended June 30, 2013

None reported.

**Section III - Federal Award Findings** 

None reported.

**Section IV - Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs** 

None reported.



## WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS DEPARTMENT

Report of Independent Accountants on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures June 30, 2013

# WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS DEPARTMENT Dayton, Ohio

#### AGREED UPON PROCEDURES REQUIRED BY THE NCAA June 30, 2013

#### CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON THE APPLICATION OF AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES (UNAUDITED)	5
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN FUNDS – RESTRICTED FUNDS (UNAUDITED)	6



### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON THE APPLICATION OF AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Dr. David Hopkins, President Wright State University Davton, Ohio

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by management of Wright State University (the "University"), solely to assist the University in evaluating whether the accompanying Statement of Revenues and Expenses of the University is in compliance with the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) Constitution 3.2.4.16 for the year ended June 30, 2013. Management of the University is responsible for the Statement of Revenues and Expenses (the "Statement") and the Statement's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accounts. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

#### Agreed-Upon Procedures Related to the Statement of Revenues and Expenses

The procedures that we performed and our findings are as follows:

#### <u>Procedures Related to the Accounting Records</u>

- 1. We obtained the Statement of Revenues and Expenses (the "Statement") of the Intercollegiate Athletics Department (the "Athletics Department") for the year ended June 30, 2013, as prepared by management and as shown on page 5. Additionally, we obtained the supporting worksheets, recalculated totals, and agreed each of the revenue and expense amounts on the Statement to management's worksheets, noting no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed all amounts on management's worksheets to the University's June 30, 2013 general ledger, noting no exceptions.
- 3. We compared revenues and expenses appearing on the Statement to budgeted amounts and prior year amounts and obtained explanations for all variances greater than \$50,000 and 10 percent. All variances were explained by management. We have read the schedule and explanations provided by management at the Athletic Department and the University's Controller's Office for the 20 items that met the criteria.
- 4. We scanned the supporting schedules provided by the University and identified one contribution of cash, services or goods that was received directly by the University's Athletics Department and that constituted 10 percent or greater of all contributions received by the University's Athletics Department. In addition, we haphazardly selected a sample of 9 more contributions and agreed to check copies or other supporting documentation maintained by the University, noting no exceptions.

- 5. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 other operating revenue receipts and agreed the revenue receipts to check copies and/or other supporting documentation maintained by the University, noting no exceptions.
- 6. We haphazardly selected one home men's basketball game and one home women's basketball game and recalculated revenue totals related to tickets sold and agreed the ticket revenue to the general ledger, noting no exceptions. We recalculated totals of complimentary tickets and unsold tickets appearing on the ticket office sales reports, noting no exceptions.
- 7. We haphazardly selected a sample of 5 away games and 5 home games and agreed all related revenues and expenditures to the signed agreements, and the Statement, noting no exceptions.
- 8. We agreed the Federal Work Study support recorded by the Athletics Department with federal appropriations. We haphazardly selected a sample of 5 Federal Work Study transactions and agreed the transactions to supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.
- 9. We agreed the University's direct support recorded by the Athletics Department to the University's authorizations, noting no exceptions.
- 10. We obtained and inspected 10 agreements related to the University's participation in revenues from tournaments during the year ended June 30, 2013 to gain an understanding of the relevant terms and conditions. We agreed the related tournament revenues to the check copies, where applicable, as well as to the general ledger and Statement, noting no exceptions.
- 11. We obtained and inspected the agreements related to the University's participation in revenues from advertisements and sponsorships. We haphazardly selected 10 revenue transactions and agreed them to the check copies, where applicable, as well as the total to the general ledger and the Statement, noting no exceptions.
- 12. There was no information provided by the University to perform the agreed-upon procedures on sports camp contracts between the University and external parties. Management indicated all sports camps are conducted by the coaches employed by the University. We haphazardly selected 10 sports-camp participant cash receipts and agreed the revenue to check copies and camp application forms, as well as to the general ledger and the Statement, noting no exceptions.
- 13. We haphazardly selected 10 sports-camp expenses and agreed the expense to the supporting documentation and agreed that the expense was for camp related activity, noting no exceptions.
- 14. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 student athletes who received institutional athletic aid during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 and agreed the award amount per the student's account detail to the related award letter, noting no exceptions.
- 15. We obtained a listing of coaches employed by the University. We haphazardly selected one men's and one women's basketball coach and a selection of eight other coaches and obtained the related contracts. We agreed the financial terms and conditions to the related coaches' salaries, benefits and bonuses to the amounts recorded by the University in the Statement, noting no exceptions.
- 16. We obtained the W-2's for the coaches selected above and agreed amounts appearing in the W-2's to the related expenses in the Statement. Because the W-2's are for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the salaries and benefit expense per the Statement are for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the total compensation per the W-2's did not agree with the salaries and benefits expense per the Statement.

- 17. We obtained a listing of administrative employees of the Athletics Department and other third parties that are not included in the University's general ledger. We haphazardly selected 10 administrative employees of the Athletics Department and obtained the W-2's for the employees selected and agreed the amounts appearing in the W-2's to the related expenses in the Statement. Because the W-2's are for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the salaries and benefit expense per the Statement are for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the total compensation per the W-2's did not agree with the salaries and benefits expense per the Statement.
- 18. We agreed the salaries, benefits and bonuses paid by the University for the administrative employees selected above to the related expense recorded by the University in the Statement noting no exceptions. Management indicated there were no other third party administrative employees.
- 19. Management indicated that there were no severance payouts during the year as all of the coaches are employed per an employment contract and all contracts were fulfilled and or renewed.
- 20. We obtained copies of the University's recruiting and travel policies. We read the policies and agreed them to the NCAA policies, noting no inconsistencies.
- 21. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 program sales, concessions, novelty sales and parking revenue transactions and agreed to supporting documentation maintained by the University, noting no exceptions.
- 22. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 equipment, uniform and/or supplies expense transactions, and agreed the expense transaction to supporting documentation maintained by the University, noting no exceptions.
- 23. We reviewed contracts for fundraising, marketing and promotional activities for the Athletics Department. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 fundraising, marketing and/or promotion expense transactions and agreed them to supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.
- 24. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 medical and medical insurance expense transactions and agreed them to supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.
- 25. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 memberships and dues expense transactions and agreed them to supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.
- 26. We haphazardly selected a sample of 10 other operating expense transactions and agreed the expense to supporting documentation maintained by the University, noting no exceptions.
- 27. There was no information provided by the University to perform the agreed-upon procedures on student fees, compensation and benefits provided by a third-party, indirect facilities and administrative support, endowment or investment, coaches employed by third parties, or participation in revenues from broadcast, television, radio and internet rights. Management indicated there were no such transactions during the reporting period.
- 28. For the remaining operating expense category reported in the Statement and not individually listed above, we haphazardly selected a sample of 10 transactions from the supporting schedules provided by the University and agreed to related supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.

#### **Procedures Related to the Internal Accounting Controls**

29. We obtained an understanding of the University's procedures for gathering information on the nature and extent of the affiliated and outside organization's activities for or on behalf of the University's intercollegiate athletic programs. We found that the University receives internal reports of the outside organization's activities on a monthly basis and an external audited report on an annual basis.

#### **Procedures Related to the Wright State University Foundation**

- 30. We obtained the list of outside programs and related financial activities for the year ended June 30, 2013, which is included on page 6 of this report.
- 31. We confirmed with the athletic business manager that the information referred to in step 30 above is a complete list of programs outside the University's financial reporting system, which conducted financial transactions for or on behalf of the University's intercollegiate athletic programs during the year ended June 30, 2013.
- 32. We confirmed directly with officers of the Foundation that the data to be contained in step 30 above represented a complete and accurate summary of all business transacted for or on behalf of the University's intercollegiate athletic programs during the year ended June 30, 2013.

#### **Procedures Related to the Athletics Assets**

- 33. We obtained a schedule of intercollegiate athletics capitalized assets, additions and improvements of facilities summarized by type.
- 34. We agreed the schedule to the institution's general ledger.
- 35. We identified four capitalized additions made by the University during the reporting period. Three individual additions constituted 10 percent or greater of total capital additions by the University's Athletics Department. We agreed the capitalized addition to supporting documentation, noting no exceptions.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance of the accompanying statement of revenues and expenses of Wright State University intercollegiate athletics operations with the NCAA Constitution 3.2.4.16. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the University management and governing boards, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crome Horwath LLP

Columbus, Ohio December 19, 2013

# Wright State University Intercollegiate Athletics Department Statement of Revenue and Expenses For the Year Ended June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

	Men's	Women's	Other	Non-Program	
	Basketball	Basketball	Sports	Specific	Total
Revenues					
Ticket Sales	\$ 270,277	\$ 3,238	\$ 9,222	\$	\$ 282,737
Student Fees	·,	, ,,,,,,,	+ -,	•	<b>,</b> ,
Guarantees	23,208	18,000	14,000		55,208
Contributions	191,088	11,582	485,652	152,580	840,902
Compensation and Benefits by a Third-Party Support	•	,	,	,	•
Direct State/Other Go's. Support		665	589	38,202	39,456
Direct Institutional Support	1,211,997	1,012,340	3,893,000	1,609,425	7,726,762
NCAA/Conference Distributions Including all Tournament		, ,	, ,	, ,	
Revenues	5,471	7,400	43,150	368,439	424,460
Broadcast, TV, Radio, & Internet Rights	•	,	,	,	,
Program Sales, Concessions, Novelty Sales & Parking	45,295		80,215	109,244	234,754
Royalties, Licensing, Advertisements & Sponsorships	172,625	172,625		22,139	367,389
Sports Camp Revenues	50,998	7,647	35,232	175	94,052
Other	58,577	8	64	49,557	108,206
Total Operating Revenue	2,029,536	1,233,505	4,561,124	2,349,761	10,173,926
Expenses					
Athletics Student Aid	388,183	372,282	1,848,035	8,999	2,617,499
Guarantees	12,500	9,500	3,835	,	25,835
Coaching Salaries, Benefits, & Bonuses Pd by the					
University & Related Entities	554,992	432,009	972,126		1,959,127
Support-Staff/Administrative Salaries, Benefits & Bonuses					
Paid by the University and Related Entities	139,265	86,322	51,117	1,749,762	2,026,466
Recruiting	75,448	62,842	70,746		209,036
Team Travel	240,979	102,127	620,223		963,329
Equipment, Uniforms & Supplies	27,472	21,111	230,496	8,479	287,558
Game Expenses	73,696	36,919	60,478		171,093
Fundraising, Marketing & Promotion	134,706	16,369	38,527	78,344	267,946
Sport Camp Expenses	24,721	1,343	17,788		43,852
Direct Facilities, Maintenance & Rental	124,288	52,990	532,106	677,901	1,387,285
Spirit Groups	14,530	789	394	119,316	135,029
Indirect Facilities & Administrative Support					
Medical Expenses and Medical Insurance	13,566	2,827	29,413	103,428	149,234
Membership and Dues	1,294	800	2,875	6,215	11,184
Other Operating Expenses	203,896	35,275	82,965	275,468	597,604
Total Operating Expenses	2,029,536	1,233,505	4,561,124	3,027,912	10,852,077
Deficiency of Revenues					
under Expenses	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	\$	<u>\$ (678,151)</u>	\$ (678,151)

# Wright State University Intercollegiate Athletics Department Schedule of Changes in Funds – Restricted Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Gift Accounts										
Nischwitz Gregg Scholarship	\$	9,400	\$		\$	(9,399)	\$	9,333	\$	9,334
Athletics General Fund		9		55,118		(53,630)		(97)		1,400
Volleyball				700		(700)				
Heider James/Timothy Best Mem Schl		487				(486)		491		492
Baseball		5,000		12,605		(17,605)				
Basketball-Women's				3,460		(242)				3,218
Basketball-Men's				30,530		(30,530)				
Cross Country-Men's		150		650						800
Soccer-Men's		12,564		11,702		(1,111)				23,155
Softball		5,969		5,511		(601)				10,879
Swimming-Women's		13,299		8,692		(10)				21,981
Swimming-Men's		10,648		5,604		(198)				16,054
Tennis-Women's				545		(545)				
Tennis-Men's				1,449		(1,449)				
Cheerleading				685		(685)				
Training Room		500		1,100		(1,600)				
Golf				39,780		(39,780)				
Women's Volleyball Scholarship		48		,		( , ,				48
Women's Soccer				601		(601)				
Emerald Jazz				215		(215)				
Sports Information				50		( - /				50
Soccer Dugout		1,857		100						1,957
Wynkoop Peggy L Scholarship		.,								1,001
Campus Schol Campaign-Mens Basktbll										
Baseball Facility Project		60								60
Soccer Lights										
Baseball-Premium Seats										
Basketball-Premium Seats				96,250		(96,250)				
Cross Country-Women's		275		225		(500)				
Track Team-Women's		59		160		(219)				
Wright State University Pavilion		47		.00		(2.0)				47
Basketball Platinum Seats				125,229		(125,229)				••
Harden Dennis C. Memorial Athl Sch		1,578		120,220		(120,220)		1,508		3,086
Coaches Corner - Men's Basketball		1,070		25,500		(25,501)		1,000		0,000
Graduate Assistant-Baseball		5,578		_0,000		(=0,00.)				5,578
Training Table Meals		8,106		20,250		(21,168)				7,188
Baseball Facility Upgrades Improvs		207,250		190,297		(412,449)				(14,902)
Rinzler Project		201,200		200		(200)				(11,002)
Underhill Ralph Memorial Scholrshp		80		200		(200)				80
	\$	282,965	\$	637,208	\$	(840,903)	\$	11,235	\$	90,505
	Ψ	202,500	Ψ	007,200	Ψ	(0-10,300)	Ψ	11,200	Ψ	30,303
Endowment Income Accounts										
Nischwitz Gregg Scholarship	\$	147,740	\$		\$		\$		\$	147,740
Heider James/Timothy Best Mem Schl	Ψ	3,458	Ψ	200	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	3,658
Harden Dennis C Memorial Athl Sch		32,882		200						32,882
Nischwitz Gregg Scholarship		38,189		18,272		(1,859)		(9,333)		45,269
Heider James/Timothy Best Mem Schl		6,187		968		(98)		(491)		6,566
Harden Dennis C Memorial Athl Sch		(2,845)		2,952		(300)		(1,508)		(1,701)
Indoor/Outdoor Practice Facility		(2,010)		_,002		(330)		(1,000)		(1,701)
	-	225,611		22,392		(2,257)	_	(11,332)		234,414
				,002	_	(2,201)	_	(11,002)		201,717
Total Wright State Foundation, Inc.	\$	508,576	\$	659,600	\$	(843,160)	\$	(97)	\$	324,919
<del>-</del>	_		_			<u> </u>				



#### WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY

#### **GREENE COUNTY**

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

**CERTIFIED FEBRUARY 4, 2014**